Asymmetric Syntheses of (+)-Preussin B, the C(2)-Epimer of (-)-Preussin B, and 3-Deoxy-(+)-preussin B

Marek Buchman, Kristína Csatayová,[†] Stephen G. Davies,* Ai M. Fletcher, Ian T. T. Houlsby, Paul M. Roberts, Sam M. Rowe, and James E. Thomson

Department of Chemistry, Chemistry Research Laboratory, University of Oxford, Mansfield Road, Oxford OX1 3TA, U.K.

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Efficient de novo asymmetric syntheses of (+)-preussin B, the C(2)-epimer of (-)-preussin B, and 3deoxy-(+)-preussin B have been developed, using the Ph diastereoselective conjugate addition of lithium (S)-N-benzyl- $N-(\alpha$ -methylbenzyl)amide to *tert*-butyl 4-phenylbut-2-enoate and diastereoselective reductive cyclizations of γ -amino ketones as the key steps to set the stereochemistry. Conjugate



addition followed by enolate protonation generated the corresponding β -amino ester. Homologation using the ester functionality as a synthetic handle gave the corresponding γ -amino ketone. Hydrogenolytic N-debenzylation was accompanied by diastereoselective reductive cyclization in situ; reductive N-methylation then gave 3-deoxy-(+)-preussin B as the major diastereoisomeric product. Meanwhile, the same conjugate addition but followed by enolate oxidation with (+)-camphorsulfonyloxaziridine gave the corresponding *anti-* α -hydroxy- β -amino ester. α -Epimerization by oxidation and diastereoselective reduction then gave access to the corresponding *syn-\alpha*-hydroxy- β -amino ester. Homologation of both of these diastereoisomeric α -hydroxy- β -amino esters gave the corresponding β -hydroxy- γ -amino ketones. N-Debenzylation and concomitant diastereoselective reductive cyclization, followed by reductive N-methylation, provided the C(2)-epimer of (-)-preussin B and (+)-preussin B as the major diastereoisomeric products, respectively. The overall yields (from phenylacetaldehyde) were 19% for 3-deoxy-(+)-preussin B over seven steps, 8% for the C(2)-epimer of (-)-preussin B over nine steps, and 7% for (+)-preussin B over eleven steps.

INTRODUCTION

In 1988, Schwartz et al. reported the isolation of a potent antifungal agent, L-657,398, from fermentation broths of Aspergillus ochraceus ATCC22947 and determined its gross structure to be N(1)-methyl-2-benzyl-5-(1'-nonyl)pyrrolidin-3ol.^{1,2} Subsequently, in an independent study in 1989, Johnson et al. reported isolation of the same compound from fermentation broths of Preussia sp. and named it (+)-preussin (Figure 1).³ Johnson et al. established the relative configuration within (+)-preussin by NOE experiments, while the absolute (2S,3S,5R)-configuration was assigned using Trost's O-methylmandelate ester derivatization technique.³ (+)-Preussin (L-657,398) displayed antifungal activity against both yeasts and filamentous fungi^{1,2} and was later implicated as an antitumor agent⁴⁻⁶ and antiviral agent.⁷ These desirable biological properties, together with the unique structure ("all-cis" relative configuration of the three substituents at the stereogenic centers around the pyrrolidine ring) of (+)-preussin, quickly made it a popular target for synthesis: the first total synthesis of (+)-preussin was reported in 1991 (starting from D-glucose),⁸ and to date, more than twenty five different routes to (+)-preussin have been developed. A large number of these rely on derivatization of readily available chiral pool materials: elaboration of L-phenylalanine facilitated the second synthesis of (+)-preussin that was reported,9 and this material (or derivatives thereof) has proven to be the most popular starting material by far in subsequent syntheses, $^{10-18}$ although (in chronological order) D-phenylalanine, 19 D-arabinose, 20 L-aspartic acid, 21 L-pyroglutaminol, 22,23 L-serine, 24,25 and (S)-malic acid 26,27 (or derivatives thereof) have also been employed as the sources of chirality. Other de novo asymmetric syntheses have been developed, $^{28-39}$ along with one formal synthesis of (+)-preussin $^{40-42}$ and two syntheses of (-)-preussin. 10,43 Moreover, the synthesis of all eight possible stereoisomers (using an enantiopure phenylalanine derivative as the starting material, proceeding via two nonselective reactions and chromatographic separation) has been reported, $^{44-46}$ as well as one synthesis of (±)-preussin. 47,48 The truncated analogue (+)-preussin B, (2S,3S,5R)-N(1)-methyl-2-benzyl-5-(1'-heptyl)pyrrolidin-3-ol (Figure 1), was isolated [along with (+)-preussin] in 2014 from *Simplicillium lanosoniveum* TAMA



Figure 1. Structures of (+)-preussin and (+)-preussin B.

Received:February 19, 2016Published:April 14, 2016

173⁴⁹ and was shown to exhibit weak antifungal activity.⁴⁹ The first and, to date, the only synthesis of (+)-preussin B to be reported is that of Huang et al., who employed (S)-malic acid as their starting material.²⁷ Herein, we report the development of a de novo asymmetric synthesis of (+)-preussin B, and also report for the first time the preparation of the C(2)-epimer of (-)-preussin B, the C(3)-epimer of (+)-preussin B, and the C(3)-deoxy derivative of (+)-preussin B.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Our initial retrosynthetic analysis for the construction of the polysubstituted pyrrolidine scaffold 1 present in (+)-preussin B, its diastereoisomers, and 3-deoxy analogue started by disconnection of the N(1)-C(5) bond to give the corresponding ketone 2: in the forward sense, the key cyclization step was designed to be a one-pot N-deprotection of 2 and reductive cyclization under hydrogenolysis conditions.⁵⁰ Ketone 2 should be easily obtained via addition of *n*-heptylmagnesium bromide to the corresponding nitrile 3; in turn, 3 could be accessed via Mitsunobu-type displacement of the primary hydroxyl group within 4 by a cyanide ion.⁵¹ Alcohol 4 could arise from the reduction of the corresponding β -amino ester 5 (X = H) or the *syn-* or *anti*-diastereoisomeric forms of α -hydroxy- β -amino ester 5 (X = OH). The conjugate addition of a lithium amide (R₂NLi) to α_{β} -unsaturated ester 6 and either enolate protonation (X = H) or oxidation (X = OH) will then be used as the key step to access the β -amino ester scaffolds 5⁵² (Figure 2).



Figure 2. First generation retrosynthetic analysis of (+)-preussin B, its diastereoisomers, and 3-deoxy analogue.

The requisite $\alpha_{,\beta}$ -unsaturated ester **6** required for these studies was prepared, as previously described, on a multigram scale via our modified Wadsworth–Emmons reaction using MeMgBr as the base.⁵³ The use of both lithium (*S*)-*N*-benzyl-*N*-(α -methylbenzyl)amide and lithium (*S*)-*N*-methyl-*N*-(α -methylbenzyl)amide to facilitate the synthesis of 3-deoxy-(+)-preussin B was assessed. Initially, conjugate addition of lithium (*S*)-*N*-benzyl-*N*-(α -methylbenzyl)amide to **6** gave the known β -amino ester 7^{54–57} as a single diastereoisomer (>95:5 dr) that was isolated in 73% yield and >99:1 dr. Reduction of 7 using LiAlH₄ gave alcohol **9** in 78% yield. Substitution of the hydroxyl group within **9** for a nitrile functionality was achieved using a modified literature procedure for a Mitsunobu-type reaction,⁵¹ using diisopropyl azodicarboxylate (DIAD) and

acetone cyanohydrin as the cyanide source, giving nitrile 13 in 88% yield. This outcome is consistent with (i) activation of the alcohol followed by a direct S_N 2-type displacement by a cyanide ion; (ii) activation of the alcohol followed by intramolecular attack of the nitrogen atom to form azetidinium ion 11, which then undergoes regioselective ring opening at the least substituted, primary position (i.e., the lower steric hindrance favors the S_N^2 -type reaction at this position); or (iii) a mixture of both pathways resulting in the formation of 13. Addition of n-heptylmagnesium bromide to 13 gave ketone 15, consistent with hydrolysis of the initially formed imine occurring upon aqueous workup; ketone 15 was isolated in 87% yield. The absolute configurations of 9, 13, and 15 were confidently assigned from the established absolute $(3S, \alpha S)$ -configuration of 7;54 ⁵⁵⁵ all of these transformations proceeded without any detectable evidence of epimerization of either of the two stereogenic centers, as expected. The final step (one-pot Ndebenzylation and reductive cyclization) was next investigated. Treatment of 15 with Pd/C under 1 atm of H₂ for 16 h promoted conversion to a 75:25 mixture of two compounds, assigned as the C(5)-epimeric pyrrolidines 17 and 18. When this mixture was hydrogenated in the presence of aqueous formaldehyde for 10 min, formation of a 75:25 mixture of pyrrolidines 19 and 20 was observed, with purification giving 19 in 66% yield (from 15) and 20 in 22% yield (from 15). The relative configurations within 19 and 20 (and, hence, 17 and 18) were assigned on the basis of NOE analyses: a strong reciprocal correlation was observed between the C(2)H and C(5)H protons within 19, whereas essentially no correlation was observed between these protons within 20. Thus, 19 was assigned as the 2,5-cis-diastereoisomer [3-deoxy-(+)-preussin B], and 20 was assigned as the 2,5-trans-diastereoisomer. The formation of the 2,5-cis-diastereoisomer 17 (and, hence, 19) as the major product of the reductive cyclization of ketone 15 is also consistent with the stereochemical outcome of the reductive cyclization of related γ -amino ketones.⁵⁰ Use of lithium (S)-N-methyl-N-(α -methylbenzyl)amide in the conjugate addition step resulted in formation of a 63:24:13 mixture of β -amino ester 8 and the corresponding β , γ -unsaturated esters 21 and 22, respectively. This mixture proved separable by chromatography, and 8, 21, and 22 were isolated in 56, 19, and 10% yield, respectively. The absolute $(3S,\alpha S)$ -configuration of β -amino ester 8 was assigned by analogy to the known absolute configuration of 7,^{54,55} which is consistent with our transition state mnemonic for this class of conjugate addition reaction.⁵⁸ The geometries of $\beta_{,\gamma}$ -unsaturated esters 21 and 22 were confidently assigned from the diagnostic values of the ¹H NMR ${}^{3}J$ coupling constants [${}^{3}J_{3,4}$ = 15.9 Hz for (*E*)-**21**^{54,55} and ${}^{3}J_{3,4}$ = 11.6 Hz for (Z)-22]. The formation of 21 and 22 suggests that a competing deprotonation process is occurring under the basic reaction conditions: deprotonation of the γ -carbon atom of $\alpha_{,\beta}$ unsaturated ester 6 leads to a dienolate, which undergoes kinetic protonation of the α -carbon upon workup to give β_{γ} . unsaturated esters 21 and 22. Next, reduction of 8 with LiAlH₄ gave alcohol 10 in 80% yield; Mitsunobu-type reaction⁵¹ of 10 gave nitrile 14 in 58% yield, and then addition of nheptylmagnesium bromide to 14 gave ketone 16 in 82% yield. Finally, hydrogenolysis of 16 gave 3-deoxy-(+)-preussin B 19 as a single diastereoisomer that was isolated in 78% yield (Scheme 1).

The differing diastereoselectivities of the one-pot Ndebenzylation and reductive cyclizations of ketones 15 (R = Bn) and 16 (R = Me) are indicative of the involvement of

Scheme 1^a



^{*a*}Reagents and conditions: (i) $(EtO)_2P(O)CH_2CO_2^{L}Bu$, MeMgBr, THF, 0 °C to rt, 30 min, then PhCH₂CHO, 75 °C, 3 h; (ii) lithium (S)-N-R-N-(α -methylbenzyl)amide, -78 °C, 2 h, then NH₄Cl (saturated aq); (iii) LiAlH₄, THF, 0 °C to rt, 2 h; (iv) PPh₃, acetone cyanohydrin, THF, then DIAD, 0 °C to rt, 16 h; (v) C₇H₁₅MgBr, Et₂O, rt, 16 h, then H₂O; (vi) H₂, Pd(OH)₂/C, MeOH, rt, 16 h; (vii) HCHO (aq), H₂, Pd/C, MeOH, rt, 10 min.

different intermediates on the mechanistic pathways for these reactions. For the transformation of 16 into 3-deoxy-(+)-preussin B 19, the N- α -methylbenzyl group must be cleaved first, giving secondary amine intermediate 25, which can then undergo intramolecular condensation to form iminium 26. This would be expected to favor envelope conformation 26A, with the C(2)-benzyl group adopting a pseudoaxial position in order to minimize 1,2-strain. Reduction of 26 proceeding from this conformation would be expected to occur with high diastereoselectivity from the least hindered face, opposite the C(2)-benzyl group, leading ultimately to 3deoxy-(+)-preussin B 19, as observed experimentally. In contrast, the transformation of 15 to a 75:25 diastereoisomeric mixture of 17 and 18 under the same conditions may be rationalized by a mechanism involving initial hydrogenolysis of the N-benzyl (rather than the N- α -methylbenzyl group) to give secondary amine intermediate 23. Intramolecular condensation

Featured Article

(which was predicted to be faster than loss of the *N*- α -methylbenzyl group) then gives iminium 24. For 24 in an analogous envelope conformation to 26A (with the C(2)-benzyl group placed pseudoaxially), it would be anticipated that the preferred conformation of the α -methylbenzyl fragment would be determined by minimization of 1,3-strain, resulting in conformation 24A. This conformer has the α -methyl group projecting over one face of the iminium and the C(2)-benzyl group projecting over the other, meaning that both faces are somewhat hindered. The diastereoselectivity of the reduction of 24 proceeding from this conformation is not readily predictable, but the formation of a mixture of pyrrolidine products 17 and 18 may be reasonably expected, as observed experimentally (Figure 3).



Figure 3. Mechanistic rationale for the differing diastereoselectivities observed in the one-pot N-debenzylation and reductive cyclizations of γ -amino ketones **15** and **16**.

The viability of this approach for construction of 3-deoxy-(+)-preussin B 19 from the corresponding β -amino esters 7 and 8 was now established. The synthesis of 19 from 8 [derived from conjugate addition of lithium (S)-N-methyl-N-(α methylbenzyl)amide] ultimately resulted in a more highly diastereoselective reductive cyclization, although the overall yield of 19 from 7 [derived from conjugate addition of lithium (S)-N-benzyl-N-(α -methylbenzyl)amide] was higher. It was therefore resolved to investigate the use of both alternative Nsubstituents for the development of asymmetric syntheses of (+)-preussin B and its diastereoisomers; this entailed use of analogous procedures, but starting from the corresponding antiand syn- α -hydroxy- β -amino esters. Thus, following our established procedure for aminohydroxylation of $\alpha_{,\beta}$ -unsaturated ester $\mathbf{6}$, ^{54,55} conjugate addition of lithium (S)-N-benzyl-N-(α -methylbenzyl)amide to 6 and in situ enolate oxidation with (+)-camphorsulfonyloxaziridine (CSO) gave the known α hydroxy- β -amino ester 27,^{54,55} which was isolated in 71% yield as a single diastereoisomer (>99:1 dr). Reduction of 27 with

LiAlH₄ gave the corresponding diol **29** in 95% yield. Use of lithium (*S*)-*N*-methyl-*N*-(α -methylbenzyl)amide in the conjugate addition/enolate oxidation step resulted in the isolation of α -hydroxy- β -amino ester **28** in 44% yield as a single diastereoisomer; the absolute (2*S*,3*S*, α *S*)-configuration of **28** was assigned by analogy to the known absolute configuration of **27**, ^{54,55} which is consistent with both the transition state mnemonic for this class of conjugate addition reaction⁵⁸ and the established stereochemical outcome of this tandem conjugate addition/enolate oxidation procedure applied to a range of achiral α , β -unsaturated esters.⁵² Reduction of **28** with LiAlH₄ gave the corresponding diol **30** in quantitative yield (Scheme 2).

Scheme 2^{*a*}



^aReagents and conditions: (i) lithium (S)-N-R-N-(α -methylbenzyl)amide, -78 °C, 2 h, then (+)-CSO, -78 °C to rt, 12 h; (ii) LiAlH₄, THF, rt, 2 h.

Attempted chemoselective displacement of the primary hydroxyl group within 29 by a cyanide ion under the conditions for a Mitsunobu-type reaction⁵¹ proceeded with incomplete consumption of starting material to give a complex mixture of chromatographically inseparable products. The use of a protecting group strategy was therefore implemented. Treatment of 27 with NaH followed by BnBr gave the corresponding O-benzyl ether 31 in 90% yield. Reduction of 31 with $LiAlH_4$ gave mono-O-benzyl-protected diol 32 in 94% yield. As both of these transformations were carried out in THF, the sequential addition of NaH, BnBr, and LiAlH₄ to a solution of 27 in the same reaction flask was investigated and delivered 32 in a great 94% yield. Mitsunobu-type reaction⁵¹ of 32 gave an 85:15 mixture of nitrile 34 and olefin 35. Chromatography enabled separation, and 34 was isolated in 82% yield along with an impure sample of 35. Nonetheless, the structure of 35 could be confidently assigned by 2D NMR spectroscopic analyses of this sample, and its formation in this reaction indicts the involvement of azetidinium ion 33: a plausible mechanism involves activation of the hydroxyl group within 32 followed by intramolecular attack of the nitrogen atom to form azetidinium ion 33; opening of the strained four-membered ring to give the corresponding secondary carbocation and subsequent regioselective loss of a proton gives olefin 35 (i.e., azetidinium 33 undergoes E1-type elimination). It is on the basis of this mechanism that the absolute $(2S_{\alpha}aS)$ -configuration of 35 was assigned (i.e., these stereocenters have not changed during conversion of 32 into 35), with the (E)-olefin geometry

following from the diagnostic value of the ¹H NMR ³*J* coupling constant (${}^{3}J_{3,4} = 16.0$ Hz). However, as with the conversion of alcohols 9 and 10 into the corresponding nitriles 13 and 14, the conversion of alcohol 32 into nitrile 34 is consistent with several mechanistic scenarios, not all of which necessarily involve the intermediacy of azetidinium ion 33 (Scheme 3).

Scheme 3^{*a*}



"Reagents and conditions: (i) NaH, THF, 0 °C to rt, 45 min, then BnBr, rt, 2 h; (ii) LiAlH₄, THF, 0 °C to rt, 2 h; (iii) NaH, THF, 0 °C to rt, 45 min, then BnBr, rt, 2 h, then LiAlH₄, 0 °C to rt, 2 h; (iv) PPh₃, acetone cyanohydrin, THF, then DIAD, 0 °C to rt, 16 h.

Addition of *n*-heptylmagnesium bromide to nitrile 34 (under the same conditions used successfully for the conversion of nitriles 13 and 14 to the corresponding ketones 15 and 16) resulted in formation of an approximately 50:50 mixture of α_{β} unsaturated ketone 38 and β -benzyloxyketone 39. Chromatography enabled isolation of 38 in 45% yield and an impure sample of **39** (containing **38** alongside other unknown species) in \sim 25% yield. Reaction of 34 with vinylmagnesium bromide was also investigated (as it was envisaged that the incorporation of a vinyl group may enable the long alkyl chain to be introduced in a subsequent step), although this did not result in any addition at all, instead forming a 55:45 mixture of α_{β} unsaturated nitriles 36 and 37, respectively; these diastereoisomers proved inseparable by chromatography and were isolated in 38% combined yield. The formation of the α,β unsaturated compounds 36, 37, and 38 is indicative of a competing elimination process, which may occur during aqueous workup, upon purification, or under the reaction conditions themselves: deprotonation of the α -carbon atom of nitrile 34 by the Grignard reagent and subsequent $E1_{CB}$ -type elimination of benzyloxide from the resultant anion would give 36 and/or 37; subsequent regioselective 1,2-addition of nheptylmagnesium bromide to 36 would then lead to 38 after workup. In order to test this hypothesis, the 55:45 mixture of 36 and 37 was treated with *n*-heptylmagnesium bromide, which did indeed result in the formation of 38, albeit in only 22% isolated yield. Presumably, however, 38 arises only from 1,2addition to 36, and so the fate of 37 under these conditions is

unknown. Based on this result, the effect of inclusion of various additives in the reaction of nitrile **34** with *n*-heptylmagnesium bromide was investigated, in the hope that this would promote the nucleophilic character of the Grignard reagent over its basic character, but unfortunately, the use of LiCl, MgBr₂, or CeCl₃⁵⁹ under a range of conditions did not allow for an efficient addition reaction to take place, and competing elimination remained problematic (Scheme 4).





"Reagents and conditions: (i) $H_2C=CHMgBr$, Et_2O , rt, 16 h, then H_2O ; (ii) $C_7H_{15}MgBr$, Et_2O , rt, 16 h, then H_2O .

As conversion of nitrile 34 to ketone 39 was proving problematic, investigations were halted at this juncture and an alternative strategy was proposed. Returning to the retrosynthetic analysis, rather than effecting a disconnection of ketone 2 at the C(5)-C(6) bond to give the corresponding nitrile 3, initial FGI of ketone 2 to the corresponding dithioacetal was considered, such that disconnection of the C(4)-C(5) bond could be effected, giving 4 and an anion of a 1,3-dithiane,^{60,61} thus allowing nitrile 3 to be bypassed. In the forward direction, an activated version of 4 would be required, such that overall substitution of the hydroxyl group by the 1,3dithiane anion could be achieved. To facilitate the synthesis of (+)-preussin B and its diastereoisomers, it was anticipated that diol 4 (X = OH) could be selectively converted into the corresponding epoxide,⁶² which would then undergo regioselective ring opening upon attack of the 1,3-dithiane anion at the least hindered (terminal) position.⁶³ As such, investigations were directed toward this end (Figure 4).

The use of diols **29** (R = Bn) and **30** (R = Me) as substrates for elaboration via the proposed pathway was explored. Given the absolute configurations of both **29** and **30**, it was anticipated that their elaboration would culminate in the preparation of the C(2)-epimer of (–)-preussin B and/or the C(3)-epimer of (+)-preussin B, depending on the diastereoselectivity of the final reductive cyclization step. Reaction of **29** (R = Bn) with 1.05 equiv of methanesulfonyl chloride (MsCl) followed by treatment with K₂CO₃ in MeOH gave a



Figure 4. Second generation retrosynthetic analysis of (+)-preussin B, its diastereoisomers, and 3-deoxy analogue.

mixture of products containing epoxide 44 and olefin 45 in the ratio 90:10, respectively. Chromatography allowed isolation of 44 in 62% yield and an impure sample of 45. The structure of 44 was confidently established with the aid of 2D NMR spectroscopic analyses, and the absolute configuration of the C(2)-stereogenic center was subsequently assigned unambiguously by chemical correlation. The formation of 44 as the major product in this reaction is thus consistent with the dominant pathway being chemoselective mesylation of the primary (rather than the secondary) hydroxyl group within 29, as expected, 62 to give the intermediate monomesylate 40, followed by epoxide formation from 40 upon exposure to base. The structure of 45 was also established using 2D NMR spectroscopic analyses and is consistent with involvement of the corresponding aziridinium ion 42 (which can form from 40), in direct analogy with the formation of olefin 35 from monoprotected diol 32. When 30 (R = Me) was subjected to the same reaction conditions, incomplete consumption of starting material to form a mixture of products was observed. When the reaction time was increased from 1 to 3 h, a major species containing an olefin was formed; this was tentatively assigned as 47, although it was not isolated. These results suggested that the elimination pathway from the intermediate monomesylate 41 (proceeding via azetidinium ion 43) is more facile than for the case of 40, potentially due to the decreased steric hindrance around the nitrogen atom allowing faster intramolecular reaction. As such, the route employing 30 was not pursued further at this juncture, and attention turned toward effecting the regioselective ring opening of 44 with the requisite 1,3-dithiane anion. Treatment of 2-n-heptyl-1,3dithiane (prepared from condensation of propane-1,3-dithiol with octanal in the presence of $ZnCl_2$)⁶⁴ with *n*-BuLi was followed by addition to 44; workup after 1 h gave 48 as a single product, which was isolated in 86% yield. The identity of 48 was determined by 2D NMR spectroscopic analyses, establishing that 44 had undergone ring opening upon attack of the dithiane anion at the least hindered, terminal position, again as expected.⁶³ Treatment of 48 with [bis(trifluoroacetoxy)iodo]benzene (PIFA) in aqueous MeOH⁶⁵ gave ketone 49 in 59% isolated yield. Hydrogenolysis of 49 then gave a 25:75 mixture of two compounds, assigned as the C(5)-epimeric pyrrolidines 50 and 51. Hydrogenation of this mixture in the presence of aqueous formaldehyde gave a 25:75 mixture of pyrrolidines 52

and 53, with purification giving the minor product 52 in 18% yield and the major product 53 in 55% yield. Both 52 and 53 could be readily assigned the gross structure of N(1)-methyl-2benzyl-5-(1'-heptyl)pyrrolidin-3-ol on the basis of 2D NMR spectroscopic analyses, although the 1D ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopic data of neither 52 nor 53 matched those reported for (+)-preussin B (as expected from the absolute configuration of α -hydroxy- β -amino ester 27, from which both 52 and 53 are ultimately derived). As (+)-preussin B is hitherto the only diastereoisomer of N(1)-methyl-2-benzyl-5-(1'-heptyl)pyrrolidin-3-ol to be reported, the relative configurations within 52 and 53 were assigned by comparison of their ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopic data to the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopic data reported for the homologous compound (+)-preussin [(2S,3S,5R)-N(1)-methyl-2-benzyl-5-(1'-nonyl)pyrrolidin-3-ol] and all of its possible diastereoisomers. Thus, the minor product 52 was identified as the C(3)-epimer of (+)-preussin B, and the major product 53 was identified as the C(2)-epimer of (-)-preussin B: in each case, and with the obvious exception of the resonances corresponding to methylene groups in the C(5)-*n*-alkyl chains, the deviation from the chemical shift values compared to the corresponding diastereoisomer of N(1)methyl-2-benzyl-5-(1'-nonyl)pyrrolidin-3-ol was minimal and sufficiently unique to allow for a confident stereochemical assignment. The configurations of all the intermediates 44 and 48-51 could thus be confidently inferred on the basis that the overall transformation of anti- α -hydroxy- β -amino ester 27 into a mixture of 52 and 53 corresponds to retention of the configuration of the hydroxyl-bearing stereocenter (Scheme 5).

Attention now turned toward elaboration of the diastereoisomeric diols 58 and 59 according to the same sequence of reactions. In order to prepare 58 and 59, the corresponding syn- α -hydroxy- β -amino esters 56 and 57 were required; this necessitated inversion of the configuration of the hydroxylbearing stereocenter within anti- α -hydroxy- β -amino esters 27 and 28. These transformations were achieved via a known oxidation/reduction protocol.⁶⁶⁻⁶⁸ Oxidation of 27 (R = Bn) under Swern conditions gave quantitative conversion to the corresponding ketone 54, and reduction using NaBH₄ at -20 °C gave a 90:10 mixture of 56 and 27, respectively, which proved chromatographically inseparable; 56 and 27 were thus isolated as a 90:10 mixture in 85% combined yield. Reduction of this mixture using $LiAlH_4$ gave a 90:10 mixture of 58 and 29, with subsequent chromatography allowing isolation of 58 as a single diastereoisomer in 77% yield. The relative configuration within 58 was unambiguously confirmed by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis.⁶⁹ Meanwhile, oxidation of 28 (R = Me) gave quantitative conversion to ketone 55, with subsequent reduction giving a 92:8 mixture of 57 and 28, respectively. In this case, however, chromatography gave 57 as a single diastereoisomer in 90% yield. Reduction of 57 using LiAlH₄ then gave 59 in 84% yield (Scheme 6).

Based upon the relative *syn*-configuration of the amino- and hydroxyl-bearing stereocenters, it was anticipated that elaboration of both diols **58** and **59** would culminate in the preparation of the C(5)-epimer of (+)-preussin B and/or (+)-preussin B itself, depending on the diastereoselectivity of the reductive cyclization. Treatment of **58** (R = Bn) with MsCl then K_2CO_3 in MeOH gave a mixture of products which contained epoxide **64** and olefin **65** in the ratio 85:15, respectively, from which **64** was isolated in 64% yield (~95% purity) and **65** in 10% yield. Reaction of diol **59** (R = Me) under the same conditions resulted in formation of a complex





^{*a*}Reagents and conditions: (i) MsCl, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C to rt, 1 h; (ii) K₂CO₃, MeOH, rt, 1 h; (iii) 2-*n*-heptyl-1,3-dithiane, *n*-BuLi, THF, rt, 1 h, then 44, rt, 1 h; (iv) PIFA, MeOH/H₂O (9:1), rt, 2 h; (v) H₂, Pd(OH)₂/C, MeOH, rt, 16 h; (vi) HCHO (aq), H₂, Pd/C, MeOH, rt, 10 min.

mixture of products containing an olefin, tentatively assigned as 67, as a major component; purification and separation of this mixture was not, however, attempted. Nonetheless, treatment of 64 with the lithium anion of 2-*n*-heptyl-1,3-dithiane gave 68, which was isolated in 83% yield; 2D NMR spectroscopic analyses were used to establish the structure of 68, which in turn established the regioselectivity of the ring opening of 64. Hydrolysis of 68 gave ketone 69 in 58% isolated yield, and hydrogenolysis of 69 gave an 85:15 mixture of two compounds, assigned as the C(5)-epimeric pyrrolidines 70 and 71; hydrogenation of this mixture in the presence of aqueous formaldehyde gave an 85:15 mixture of pyrrolidines 72 and 73, with purification giving the major product 72 in 75% yield (from 69), although only an impure sample of 73 was isolated. In this instance, the spectroscopic data of 72 matched perfectly with those reported for the sample of (+)-preussin B isolated from the natural source by Igarashi et al.⁴⁹ and those of the synthetic sample prepared by Huang et al.²⁷ Furthermore, the





^aReagents and conditions: (i) DMSO, $(COCl)_2$, CH_2Cl_2 , -78 °C, 5 min, then **27** or **28**, -78 °C, 30 min, then Et_3N , -78 °C to rt, 10 min; (ii) NaBH₄, MeOH, -20 °C, 2 h; (iii) LiAlH₄, THF, 0 °C to rt, 2 h. ^bThe minor diastereoisomer was **27**.

specific rotation value of our sample of 72 { $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +22.9 (*c* 1.0 in CHCl₃)} was also in excellent agreement with the values reported for these samples {Igarashi et al. report $[\alpha]_D^{26}$ +22 (*c* 0.19 in CHCl₃)⁴⁹ for the sample isolated from the natural source; Huang et al. report $[\alpha]_D^{26}$ +23.1 (*c* 0.19 in CHCl₃)²⁷ for their synthetic sample}. On this basis, the configurations of all the intermediates **64** and **68**–**71**, as well as the regiochemistry of monomesylate **60**, could be confidently inferred, and **73** was therefore identified as the C(5)-epimer of (+)-preussin B (Scheme 7).

It is instructive to compare the diastereoselectivities observed upon tandem N-debenzylation and reductive cyclization of the structurally related ketones 15, 49, and 69, which result in the formation of diastereoisomeric mixtures of the corresponding pyrrolidines: ketone 15 gives a 75:25 mixture of 17 and 18; ketone 49 gives a 25:75 mixture of 50 and 51; ketone 69 gives an 85:15 mixture of 70 and 71, respectively. Thus, reaction of the "parent" system 15 gives the corresponding 2,5-cisdiastereosiomer 17 as the major product, and the presence of the hydroxyl group within 69 results in a slight enhancement of this diastereoselectivity in favor of the corresponding 2,5-cisdiastereoisomer 70. In contrast, the presence of the hydroxyl group within 49 results in the opposite diastereoselectivity, forming the corresponding 2,5-trans-pyrrolidine 51 as the major product. By direct analogy with the reaction of 15, the most likely mechanistic pathways for the reactions of 49 and 69 involve initial hydrogenolyses of the N-benzyl groups to give the corresponding secondary amines 74 and 75, which undergo intramolecular condensations to form the corresponding iminiums 76 and 77. These species are likely to favor envelope conformations 76A and 77A, with the C(2)-benzyl substituent placed pseudoaxial. This results in the hydroxyl group within 77A being placed pseudoequatorially, so it does not significantly project over either face of the iminium; its presence would therefore be anticipated to have little effect on the diastereoselectivity of iminium reduction proceeding from this conformer when compared to that of the parent system (i.e., reduction of 24 proceeding from conformation 24A). In contrast, the hydroxyl group within 76A is placed pseudoaxially and projects over one face of the iminium; it is



^aReagents and conditions: (i) MsCl, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C to rt, 1 h; (ii) K₂CO₃, MeOH, rt, 1 h; (iii) 2-*n*-heptyl-1,3-dithiane, *n*-BuLi, THF, rt, 1 h, then **64**, rt, 1 h; (iv) PIFA, MeOH/H₂O (9:1), rt, 2 h; (v) H₂, Pd(OH)₂/C, MeOH, rt, 16 h; (vi) HCHO (aq), H₂, Pd/C, MeOH, rt, 10 min. ^bApproximately 95% purity.

therefore likely to play a more decisive role in determining the overall diastereoselectivity of the reduction. However, given that 76A has the C(2)-benzyl group projecting over one face of the iminium and both the C(α)-methyl and C(3)-hydroxyl projecting over the other face, it is again not easy to predict the major stereochemical course of the reduction proceeding from this conformer. However, in comparison with the diastereoselectivity of the reduction of the parent system proceeding from conformation 24A, the presence of the pseudoaxial C(3)-hydroxyl group within 76A would be expected to favor production of a higher proportion of the corresponding 2,5-*trans*-diastereoisomer 51, which is in fact the major product observed experimentally (Figure 5).

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, efficient de novo asymmetric syntheses of 3deoxy-(+)-preussin B, the C(2)-epimer of (-)-preussin B, and (+)-preussin B itself have been developed, using a diastereoselective hydroamination or aminohydroxylation of *tert*-butyl



Figure 5. Mechanistic rationale for the differing diastereoselectivities observed in the one-pot N-debenzylation and reductive cyclizations of γ -amino ketones **15**, **49**, and **69**.

4-phenylbut-2-enoate and diastereoselective reductive cyclizations of γ -amino ketones as the key steps to set the stereochemistry. Conjugate addition of lithium (S)-N-benzyl- $N-\alpha$ -methylbenzyl)amide to tert-butyl 4-phenylbut-2-enoate followed by enolate protonation gave a β -amino ester. Homologation using the ester functionality as a synthetic handle gave the corresponding γ -amino ketone. Hydrogenolytic N-debenzylation was accompanied by diastereoselective reductive cyclization in situ; reductive N-methylation then gave 3deoxy-(+)-preussin B. Meanwhile, conjugate addition followed by enolate oxidation gave the corresponding *anti-\alpha*-hydroxy- β amino ester. An oxidation and diastereoselective reduction then gave access to the corresponding syn- α -hydroxy- β -amino ester. Homologation of both of these diastereoisometric α -hydroxy- β amino esters gave the corresponding γ -amino ketones. As before, N-debenzylation was accompanied by diastereoselective reductive cyclization; reductive N-methylation then gave the C(2)-epimer of (-)-preussin B and (+)-preussin B, respectively, as the major diastereoisomeric products of these reaction sequences [the minor products were identified as the C(3)epimer of (+)-preussin B and the C(5)-epimer of (+)-preussin B, respectively]. The overall yields (from phenylacetaldehyde) were 19% for 3-deoxy-(+)-preussin B over seven steps, 8% for the C(2)-epimer of (-)-preussin B over nine steps, and 7% for (+)-preussin B over eleven steps.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Experimental Details. Reactions involving moisturesensitive reagents were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere using standard vacuum line techniques and glassware that was flame-dried and cooled under nitrogen before use. Solvents were dried according to the procedure outlined by Grubbs and co-workers.⁷⁰ Organic layers were dried over $\rm Na_2SO_4.$ Flash column chromatography was performed on Kieselgel 60 silica.

Melting points are uncorrected. Specific rotations are reported in 10^{-1} deg cm² g⁻¹ and concentrations in g/100 mL. IR spectra were recorded using an ATR module. Selected characteristic peaks are reported in cm⁻¹. NMR spectra were recorded in the deuterated solvent stated. The field was locked by external referencing to the relevant deuteron resonance. ${}^{1}H{-}^{1}H$ COSY and ${}^{1}H{-}^{13}C$ HMQC analyses were used to establish atom connectivity. Accurate mass measurements were run on a MicroTOF instrument internally calibrated with polyalanine.

X-ray Crystal Structure Determination.⁶⁹ Data were collected using graphite-monochromated Mo K α radiation via standard procedures at 150 K. The structure was solved by direct methods (SIR92); all non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. Hydrogen atoms were added at idealized positions. The structure was refined using CRYSTALS.⁷¹

tert-Butyl (*E*)-4-Phenylbut-2-enoate 6. MeMgBr (3.0 M in Et₂O, 33.0 mL, 99 mmol) was added dropwise over 15 min to a stirred solution of *tert*-butyl diethylphosphonoacetate (23.3 mL, 99 mmol) in THF (500 mL) at 0 °C, and the resultant solution was stirred at rt for a further 30 min. Phenylacetaldehyde (13.3 mL, 114 mmol) was then added dropwise via syringe, and the resultant solution was heated at reflux for 3 h and allowed to cool to rt. Saturated aq NH₄Cl (800 mL) was added, and the resultant mixture was extracted with Et₂O (3 × 400 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (600 mL), then dried and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30–40 °C petrol/Et₂O, 5:1) gave 6 as a light yellow oil (14.1 g, 65%, >99:1 dr [(*E*):(*Z*) ratio]):⁵³ $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.48 (9H, s, CMe₃), 3.50 (2H, dd, *J* = 6.7, 1.6, C(4)H₂), 5.74 (1H, dt, *J* = 15.6, 1.6, C(2)H), 7.00 (1H, dt, *J* = 15.6, 6.7, C(3)H), 7.17–7.36 (5H, m, *Ph*).

tert-Butyl (3S, α S)-3-[N-Benzyl-N-(α -methylbenzyl)amino]-4phenylbutanoate 7. n-BuLi (2.5 M in hexanes, 2.84 mL, 7.10 mmol) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of (S)-Nbenzyl-N-(α -methylbenzyl)amine (1.55 g, 7.33 mmol, >99% ee) in THF (10 mL) at -78 °C. The resultant solution was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min. A solution of 6 (1.00 g, 4.58 mmol, >99:1 dr [(E):(Z) ratio]) in THF (10 mL) at -78 °C was added, and the resultant solution was stirred at -78 °C for a further 2 h. Saturated aq NH₄Cl (0.5 mL) was added, and the reulstant mixture was allowed to warm to rt over 15 min and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was partitioned between H₂O (30 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 30 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (30 mL), dried, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30-40 °C petrol/Et2O, 15:1 \rightarrow 10:1) gave 7 as a colorless oil (1.44 g, 73%, >99:1 dr):⁵⁵ +8.1 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.10 (3H, d, J = 7.0, $C(\alpha)Me$, 1.41 (9H, s, CMe_3), 1.97 (1H, dd, J = 14.8, 4.6, $C(4)H_A$, 2.03 (1H, dd, $J = 14.8, 8.3, C(4)H_B$), 2.64 (1H, dd, J = 13.7, J = 13.75.8, $C(2)H_A$), 2.76 (1H, dd, J = 13.7, 8.2, $C(2)H_B$), 3.62 (1H, d, J =15.0, NCH_AH_BPh), 3.66–3.74 (1H, m, C(3)H), 3.81 (1H, q, J = 7.0, $C(\alpha)H$, 3.93 (1H, d, I = 15.0, NCH_AH_BPh), 7.14–7.39 (15H, m, Ph).

tert-Butyl (3S, α S)-3-[N-Methyl-N-(α -methylbenzyl)amino]-4phenylbutanoate 8. *n*-BuLi (2.5 M in hexanes, 0.57 mL, 1.45 mmol) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of (S)-N-methyl-N-(α -methylbenzyl)amine (200 mg, 1.48 mmol, >99% ee) in THF (3 mL) at -78 °C. The resultant solution was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min. A solution of 6 (202 mg, 0.92 mmol, >99:1 dr [(E):(Z) ratio]) in THF (3 mL) at -78 °C was added, and the resultant solution was stirred at -78 °C for a further 2 h. Saturated aq NH₄Cl (0.5 mL) was added, and the reulstant mixture was allowed to warm to rt over 15 min and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was partitioned between $H_2O(10 \text{ mL})$ and CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (10 mL), dried, and concentrated in vacuo to give a 63:24:13 mixture of 8, 21, and 22, respectively. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30–40 $^{\circ}C$ petrol/Et_2O, 20:1) gave 22 as a colorless oil (20 mg, 10%): R_f 0.53 (30-40 °C petrol/Et₂O, 10:1); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.45 (9H, s, CMe₃), 3.25 (2H, dd, J =

7.3, 2.0, $C(2)H_2$, 5.87 (1H, dt, J = 11.6, 7.3, C(3)H), 6.60 (1H, dt, J =11.6, 2.0, C(4)H), 7.23-7.36 (5H, m, Ph). Further elution gave a 67:33 mixture of 21 and 22 (11 mg, 5%). Further elution gave 21 as a colorless oil (38 mg, 19%):⁵⁵ R_f 0.43 (30-40 °C petrol/Et₂O, 10:1); $C(2)H_2$, 6.29 (1H, dt, J = 15.9, 7.1, C(3)H), 6.47 (1H, dt, J = 15.9, 1.5, C(4)H), 7.20-7.39 (5H, m, Ph). Further elution gave 8 as a white solid (183 mg, 56%); Rf 0.23 (30-40 °C petrol/Et2O, 20:1); mp 55-56 °C; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ -19.3 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); $\bar{\nu}_{max}$ 1727 (C=O); δ_{H} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.35 (3H, d, J = 6.6, $C(\alpha)Me$), 1.42 (9H, s, CMe_3), 2.19 $(1H, dd, I = 14.0, 6.7, C(2)H_A), 2.20 (3H, s, NMe), 2.40 (1H, dd, I = 14.0, 6.7, C(2)H_A), 2.20 (3H, s, NMe), 2.40 (1H, dd, I = 14.0, 6.7, C(2)H_A), 2.20 (3H, s, NMe), 2.40 (1H, dd, I = 14.0, 6.7, C(2)H_A), 2.20 (3H, s, NMe), 2.40 (1H, dd, I = 14.0, 6.7, C(2)H_A), 2.20 (3H, s, NMe), 2.40 (1H, dd, I = 14.0, 6.7, C(2)H_A), 2.40 (1H, dd, I = 14.0, C(2)H_A$ 14.0, 7.9, $C(2)H_B$, 2.47 (1H, dd, $J = 13.3, 8.3, C(4)H_A$), 2.79 (1H, dd, $J = 13.3, 6.1, C(4)H_B$, 3.52–3.61 (1H, m, C(3)H), 3.65 (1H, q, J =6.6, C(α)H), 7.06–7.30 (10H, m, Ph); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 21.9 $(C(\alpha)Me)$, 28.1 (CMe_3) , 32.1 (NMe), 35.8 (C(4)), 37.3 (C(2)), 58.1 $(C(3)), 62.2 (C(\alpha)), 79.9 (CMe_3), 125.8, 126.6 (p-Ph), 127.3, 128.1,$ 128.2, 129.3 (o,m-Ph), 140.0, 146.0 (i-Ph), 172.1 (C(1)); m/z (ESI⁺) 354 ($[M + H]^+$, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) $C_{23}H_{32}NO_2^+$ ($[M + H]^+$) requires 354.2428; found 354.2429.

 $(3S,\alpha S)$ -3-[N-Benzyl-N-(α -methylbenzyl)amino]-4-phenylbutan-1-ol 9. LiAlH₄ (1.0 M in THF, 4.89 mL, 4.89 mmol) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of 7 (1.40 g, 3.26 mmol, >99:1 dr) in THF (25 mL) at 0 °C, and the resultant solution was allowed to warm to rt over 2 h. The resultant solution was then cooled to 0 °C, and 1 M aq NaOH (25 mL) was added. The resultant mixture was heated at reflux for 1 h, allowed to cool to rt, and filtered through Celite (eluent EtOAc), and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30-40 °C petrol/Et₂O, $3:1 \rightarrow 1:1$) gave 9 as a colorless oil (914 mg, 78%, >99:1 dr): $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +13.0 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} 3389 (O–H); δ_H (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) 1.32–1.42 (1H, m, C(2)H_A), 1.50 (3H, d, J = 7.1, C(α)Me), 1.52-1.67 (1H, m, C(2) H_B), 2.53 (1H, dd, J = 12.8, 10.5, C(4) H_A), 2.73 (1H, m, OH), 3.01–3.22 (3H, m, C(1) H_A , C(3)H, C(4) H_B), 3.28-3.40 (1H, m, C(1)H_B), 3.71-3.85 (1H, m, NCH_AH_BPh), 3.95-4.12 (2H, m, C(α)H, NCH_AH_BPh), 7.07–7.44 (15H, m, Ph); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$) 14.9 ($C(\alpha)Me$), 32.7 (C(2)), 39.1 (C(4)), 50.0 (NCH₂Ph), 56.3 (*C*(*α*)), 57.4 (*C*(3)), 61.8 (*C*(1)), 126.0, 127.0, 127.1 (p-Ph), 128.0, 128.2, 128.4, 128.5, 129.1 (o,m-Ph), 140.1, 140.3, 143.5 $(i-Ph); m/z (ESI^+) 360 ([M + H]^+, 100\%); HRMS (ESI^+) C_{25}H_{30}NO^+$ $([M + H]^+)$ requires 360.2322; found 360.2323.

 $(3S,\alpha S)$ -3-[N-Methyl-N-(α -methylbenzyl)amino]-4-phenylbutan-1-ol 10. LiAlH₄ (1.0 M in THF, 0.40 mL, 0.40 mmol) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of 8 (100 mg, 0.28 mmol, >99:1 dr) in THF (3 mL) at 0 °C, and the resultant solution was allowed to warm to rt over 2 h. The resultant solution was then cooled to 0 °C, and 1 M aq NaOH (3 mL) was added. The resultant mixture was heated at reflux for 1 h, allowed to cool to rt, and filtered through Celite (eluent EtOAc), and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30-40 °C petrol/Et₂O, 1:1) gave 10 as a colorless oil (64 mg, 80%, >99:1 dr): R_f 0.13 (30–40 °C petrol/Et₂O, 1:1); $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ –94.8 (*c* 1.0 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} 3403 (O–H); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.40–1.48 (1H, app dq J = 14.8, 2.9, $C(2)H_A$), 1.55 (3H, d, J = 6.6, $C(\alpha)Me$), 1.86 (1H, dddd, J = 14.8, 11.1, 9.6, 5.3, $C(2)H_B$, 2.15 (3H, s, NMe), 2.37 (1H, dd, J = 13.0, 11.1, $C(4)H_A$, 2.89 (1H, dd, $J = 13.0, 2.9, C(4)H_B$), 3.51 (1H, app tt, $J = 11.1, 2.9, C(3)H), 3.71-3.83 (3H, m, C(1)H_2, C(\alpha)H), 5.95 (1H, m)$ br s, OH), 7.13–7.40 (10H, m, Ph); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 21.8 $(C(\alpha)Me)$, 29.9 (C(2)), 32.8 (NMe), 34.0 (C(4)), 62.5, 62.7 (C(3)), $C(\alpha)$), 64.3 (C(1)), 126.1 (p-Ph), 127.2 (o,m-Ph), 127.2 (p-Ph), 128.5, 128.7, 129.2 (o,m-Ph), 140.0, 144.6 (i-Ph); m/z (ESI⁺) 284 ([M + H]⁺, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) $C_{19}H_{26}NO^{+}$ ([M + H]⁺) requires 284.2009; found 284.2010.

(4*R*,*α***S**)-4-[*N*-Benzyl-*N*-(*α*-methylbenzyl)amino]-5-phenylpentanenitrile 13. A solution of DIAD (1.25 mL, 6.34 mmol) in THF (7 mL) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of 9 (760 mg, 2.11 mmol, >99:1 dr), PPh₃ (1.66 g, 6.34 mmol), and acetone cyanohydrin (0.58 mL, 6.34 mmol) in THF (28 mL) at 0 °C, at such a rate as to maintain the temperature at 0 °C (approximately 15 min addition time). The resultant solution was stirred for 30 min, allowed to warm to rt, stirred at rt for 16 h, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30−40 °C petrol/Et₂O, 5:1 → 2:1) gave 13 as a white solid (681 mg, 88%, >99:1 dr): mp 72−74 °C; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ +16.4 (*c* 1.0 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} 2244 (C≡N); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.45−1.58 (3H, m, C(2)H_A, C(3)H₂) overlapping 1.53 (3H, d, *J* = 7.0, C(α)*M*e), 2.17−2.25 (1H, m, C(2)H_B), 2.54 (1H, dd, *J* = 13.2, 10.6, C(5)H_A), 2.84−2.93 (1H, m, C(4)H), 3.19 (1H, dd, *J* = 13.2, 3.3, C(5)H_B), 3.84 (1H, d, *J* = 13.8, NCH_AH_BPh), 3.94 (1H, d, *J* = 13.8, NCH_AH_BPh), 4.05 (1H, q, *J* = 7.0, C(α)*H*), 7.12−7.43 (15H, m, *Ph*); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 14.3 (C(2)), 15.2 (C(α)*M*e), 27.3 (C(3)), 38.4 (C(5)), 49.9 (NCH₂Ph), 56.3 (C(α)), 57.8 (C(4)), 120.1 (C(1)), 126.2, 127.0, 127.1, (*p*-*Ph*), 127.7, 128.2, 128.4, 128.5, 128.8, 128.9 (*o*,*m*-*Ph*), 139.6, 140.3, 143.8 (*i*-*Ph*); *m*/*z* (ESI⁺) 369 ([M + H]⁺, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) C₂₆H₂₈N₂Na⁺ ([M + Na]⁺) requires 391.2145; found 391.2145.

 $(4\ddot{R}, \alpha S)$ -4-[N-Methyl-N- $(\alpha$ -methylbenzyl)amino]-5-phenylpentanenitrile 14. A solution of DIAD (313 μ L, 1.59 mmol) in THF (2 mL) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of 10 (150 mg, 0.53 mmol, >99:1 dr), PPh₃ (417 mg, 1.59 mmol), and acetone cyanohydrin (145 µL, 1.59 mmol) in THF (13 mL) at 0 °C, at such a rate as to maintain the temperature at 0 °C (approximately 15 min addition time). The resultant solution was then allowed to warm to rt and stirred at rt for 16 h and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30-40 °C petrol/Et₂O, 3:1) gave 14 as a colorless oil (90 mg, 58%, >99:1 dr): $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ –16.6 (*c* 1.0 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} 2245 (C=N); δ_{H} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.44 (3H, d, J = 6.7, $C(\alpha)Me$), 1.65–1.72 (2H, m, $C(3)H_2$), 2.08 (3H, s, NMe), 2.27– 2.47 (3H, m, C(2) H_2 , C(5) H_A), 2.98 (1H, dd, J = 13.0, 3.5, C(5) H_B), 3.22-3.31 (1H, m, C(4)H), 3.74 (1H, q, J = 6.7, C(α)H), 7.12-7.35(10H, m, Ph); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 14.5 (C(2)), 21.7 (C(α)Me), 26.4 (C(3)), 32.3 (NMe), 34.2 (C(5)), 58.4 (C(4)), 62.2 (C(α)), 120.3 (C(1)), 126.2, 126.9 (p-Ph), 127.1, 128.4, 128.6, 129.1 (o,m-Ph), 139.6, 146.1 (*i-Ph*); m/z (ESI⁺) 293 ([M + H]⁺, 100%); HRMS $(ESI^{+}) C_{20}H_{24}N_{2}Na^{+} ([M + Na]^{+})$ requires 315.1832; found 315.1831.

(2R, α S)-1-Phenyl-2-[N-benzyl-N-(α -methylbenzyl)amino]dodecan-5-one 15. n-Heptylmagnesium bromide (1.0 M in Et₂O, 3.66 mL, 3.66 mmol) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of 13 (450 mg, 1.22 mmol, >99:1 dr) in Et₂O (10 mL) at rt, and the resultant solution was stirred at rt for 16 h. Saturated aq NH₄Cl (0.5 mL) and H₂O (0.5 mL) were then added sequentially. The aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (3×2 mL), and the combined organic extracts were washed sequentially with saturated aq NaHCO₃ (10 mL) and brine (10 mL), dried, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30-40 °C petrol/Et₂O, 7:1 \rightarrow 3:1) gave 15 as colorless oil (501 mg, 87%, >99:1 dr): $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -5.3 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} 1710 (C=O); δ_H (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 0.88 (3H, t, J = 6.9, C(12)H₃) 1.10-1.55 (12H, m, $C(3)H_2$, $C(7)H_2-C(11)H_2$) overlapping 1.42 (3H, d, J = 6.9, $C(\alpha)Me$, 1.76 (1H, ddd, J = 17.2, 10.0, 5.9, $C(4)H_A$), 1.98–2.14 $(2H, m, C(6)H_2), 2.28 (1H, ddd, J = 17.2, 10.0, 4.7, C(4)H_B), 2.53$ (1H, dd, J = 13.1, 9.6, $C(1)H_A$), 2.79–2.88 (1H, m, C(2)H), 3.10 $(1H, dd, J = 13.1, 4.1, C(1)H_B), 3.82 (1H, d, J = 14.2, NCH_AH_BPh),$ 3.92 (1H, d, J = 14.2, NCH_AH_BPh), 4.02 (1H, q, J = 6.9, C(α)H), 7.10–7.38 (15H, m, Ph); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 14.1 (C(12)), 16.1 $(C(\alpha)Me)$, 22.6, 23.9, 25.0, 29.1, 29.2, 31.7 (C(3), C(7)-C(11)), 39.2 (C(1)), 40.3 (C(4)), 42.7 (C(6)), 50.0 (NCH_2Ph) , 56.2 $(C(\alpha))$, 58.3 (C(2)), 125.9, 126.7, 126.8 (p-Ph), 127.9, 128.0, 128.2, 128.3, 128.8, 129.2 (o,m-Ph), 140.6, 141.3, 144.6 (i-Ph), 211.7 (C(5)); m/z (ESI⁺) 470 ($[M + H]^+$, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) $C_{33}H_{44}NO^+$ ($[M + H]^+$) requires 470.3417; found 470.3421.

(2*R*,*α***S**)-1-Phenyl-2-[*N*-methyl-*N*-(*α*-methylbenzyl)amino]dodecan-5-one 16. *n*-Heptylmagnesium bromide (1.0 M in Et₂O, 0.72 mL, 0.72 mmol) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of 14 (70 mg, 0.24 mmol, >99:1 dr) in Et₂O (2 mL) at rt, and the resultant solution was stirred at rt for 16 h. Saturated aq NH₄Cl (0.5 mL) and H₂O (0.5 mL) were then added sequentially. The aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (3 × 2 mL), and the combined organic extracts were washed sequentially with saturated aq NaHCO₃ (10 mL) and brine (10 mL), dried, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30–40 °C petrol/Et₂O, 7:1 → 3:1) gave 16 as a colorless oil (77 mg, 82%, >99:1 dr): $[\alpha]_{25}^{25} - 18.4$ (*c* 1.0 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} 1713 (C==O); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 0.88 (3H, t, *J* = 6.8, C(12)*H*₃), 1.19–1.40 (8H, m, C(8)*H*₂–C(11)*H*₂) overlapping 1.35 (3H, d, *J* = 6.6, C(α)*Me*), 1.46–1.65 (3H, m, C(3)*H*_A, C(7)*H*₂), 1.66–1.75 (1H, m, C(3)*H*_B), 2.08 (3H, s, NMe), 2.27–2.41 (4H, m, C(1)*H*_A, C(4)*H*_A, C(6)*H*₂), 2.52 (1H, ddd, *J* = 16.9, 8.7, 5.5, C(4)*H*_B), 2.87 (1H, dd, *J* = 13.0, 4.1, C(1)*H*_B), 3.01–3.10 (1H, m, C(2)*H*), 3.69 (1H, q, *J* = 6.6, C(α)*H*), 7.11–7.30 (10H, m, *Ph*); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 14.0 (C(12)), 22.0 (C(α)*Me*), 22.6 (C(8)–C(11)), 23.9 (C(7)), 24.4 (C(3)), 29.1, 29.2, 31.7 (C(8)–C(11)), 32.3 (NMe), 35.1 (C(1)), 40.2 (C(4)), 42.9 (C(6)), 59.2 (C(2)), 62.0 (C(α)), 125.7, 126.6 (*p*-*Ph*), 127.2, 128.2, 128.3, 129.2 (*o*,*m*-*Ph*), 140.6, 146.6 (*i*-*Ph*), 211.6 (C(5)); *m*/z (ESI⁺) 394 ([M + H]⁺, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) C₂₇H₄₀NO⁺ ([M + H]⁺) requires 394.3104; found 394.3101.

(2*R*,5*R*)-*N*(1)-Methyl-2-benzyl-5-(heptan-1'-yl)pyrrolidine [3-Deoxy-(+)-preussin B] 19 and (2*R*,5*S*)-*N*(1)-Methyl-2-benzyl-5-(heptan-1'-yl)pyrrolidine 20. Method A (from 15). Step 1. Pearlman's catalyst (50% w/w substrate, 250 mg) was added to a degassed solution of 15 (500 mg, 1.06 mmol, >99:1 dr) in MeOH (10 mL), and the resultant suspension was stirred vigorously under H₂ (5 atm) for 16 h. The resultant suspension was filtered through Celite (eluent MeOH) and concentrated in vacuo to give a 75:25 mixture of 17 and 18, respectively (288 mg).

Step 2. Pd/C (50% w/w substrate, 144 mg) and formaldehyde (37 wt % aq solution, 159 μ L, 2.13 mmol) were added sequentially to a degassed solution of the residue from the previous step in MeOH (10 mL), and the resultant suspension was stirred vigorously under H_2 (1 atm) for 10 min. The resultant suspension was filtered through Celite (eluent MeOH) and concentrated in vacuo to give a 75:25 mixture of 19 and 20, respectively. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30–40 °C petrol/EtOAc, 10:1 \rightarrow 3:1) gave 19 as a colorless oil (192 mg, 66% from 15, >99:1 dr): $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +9.6 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); δ_H (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 0.89 (3H, t, J = 7.0, C(7')H₃), 1.16–1.51 (13H, m, $C(3)H_{A}$, $C(4)H_{A}$, $C(1')H_{A}$, $C(2')H_2-C(6')H_2$), 1.57–1.85 (3H, m, C(3)H_B, C(4)H_B, C(1')H_B), 2.08-2.17 (1H, m, C(5)H), 2.32-2.48 (2H, m, C(2)H, C(2)CH_AH_BPh) overlapping 2.36 (3H, s, NMe), 3.09 (1H, dd, J = 12.4, 3.2, C(2)CH_AH_BPh), 7.17-7.31 (5H, m, Ph); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 14.1 (C(7')), 22.7, 26.7 (C(2')-C(6')), 28.8, 28.9 (C(3), C(4)), 29.3, 30.0, 31.8 (C(2')-C(6')), 34.6 (C(1')), 39.2 (NMe), 41.1 (C(2)CH₂Ph), 67.7 (C(5)), 69.1 (C(2)), 125.9 (p-Ph), 128.2, 129.2 (o,m-Ph), 140.1 (i-Ph); m/z (ESI⁺) 274 ([M + H]⁺, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) $C_{19}H_{32}N^+$ ([M + H]⁺) requires 274.2529; found 274.2530. Further elution gave 20 as a pale yellow oil (64 mg, 22%, >99:1 dr); $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ +61.8 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); δ_{H} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 0.89 (3H, t, J = 7.0, C(7')H₃), 1.10–1.35 (11H, m, C(1')H_A, C(2')H₂ $-C(6')H_2$, 1.44–1.82 (4H, m, C(3) H_2 , C(4) H_A , C(1') H_B), 1.95– 2.04 (1H, m, C(4)H_B), 2.30–2.37 (1H, m, C(2)CH_AH_BPh), 2.48 (3H, s, NMe), 2.78-2.84 (1H, m, C(5)H), 3.04 (1H, dd, J = 12.4, 3.2, $C(2)CH_{A}H_{B}Ph$, 3.12–3.19 (1H, m, C(2)H), 7.15–7.32 (5H, m, Ph); δ_{C} (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 14.1 (C(7')), 22.7, 26.8 (C(2')-C(6')), 27.8 (C(3)), 28.5 (C(4)), 29.3, 30.0 (C(2')-C(6')), 31.5 (C(1')), 31.8 (C(2')-C(6')), 35.4 (NMe), 36.1 (C(2)CH₂Ph), 63.2 (C(5)), 65.3 (C(2)), 125.8 (p-Ph), 128.3, 129.2 (o,m-Ph), 140.3 (i-Ph); m/z (ESI⁺)274 ($[M + H]^+$, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) $C_{19}H_{32}N^+$ ($[M + H]^+$) requires 274.2529; found 274.2532.

Method B (from 16). Pearlman's catalyst (50% w/w substrate, 13 mg) was added to a degassed solution of 16 (26 mg, 0.07 mmol) in MeOH (2 mL), and the resultant suspension was stirred vigorously under H₂ (5 atm) for 16 h. The resultant suspension was filtered through Celite (eluent MeOH) and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30–40 °C petrol/EtOAc, $10:1 \rightarrow 3:1$) gave 19 as a colorless oil (14 mg, 78%, >99:1 dr).

tert-Butyl (25,35,αS)-2-Hydroxy-3-[N-benzyl-N-(α-methylbenzyl)amino]-4-phenylbutanoate 27. *n*-BuLi (2.5 M in hexanes, 11.4 mL, 28.4 mmol) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of (S)-N-benzyl-N-(α-methylbenzyl)amine (6.20 g, 29.3 mmol, >99% ee) in THF (40 mL) at -78 °C. The resultant solution was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min. A solution of 6 (4.00 g, 18.3 mmol, >99:1 dr [(E):(Z) ratio]) in THF (40 mL) at -78 °C was added, and the resultant solution was stirred at $-78\ ^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ for a further 2 h. (+)-CSO (8.78 g, 29.3 mmol) was added, and the reulstant mixture was allowed to warm to rt over 12 h. Saturated aq NH₄Cl (2 mL) was added, and the resultant mixture was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was partitioned between H₂O (100 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (100 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 100 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (30 mL), dried, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30–40 °C petrol/Et₂O, 15:1 \rightarrow 3:1) gave 27 as a light yellow oil (5.80 g, 71%, >99:1 dr): 55 R_f 0.50 (30-40 °C petrol/Et₂O, 3:1); $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ +46.5 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); δ_{H} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.12 (3H, d, J = 7.0, $C(\alpha)Me$, 1.38 (9H, s, CMe_3), 2.70 (1H, dd, J = 14.0, 6.3, $C(4)H_A$, 2.90 (1H, dd, $J = 14.0, 7.9, C(4)H_B$), 2.96 (1H, d, $J = 5.7, J_A$) OH), 3.62 (1H, ddd, I = 7.9, 6.3, 1.4, C(3)H), 3.80 (1H, d, I = 15.4, NCH_AH_BPh), 3.89 (1H, dd, J = 5.7, 1.4, C(2)H), 3.94 (1H, q, J = 7.0, I $C(\alpha)H$, 4.35 (1H, d, J = 15.4, NCH_AH_BPh), 7.11-7.44 (15H, m, Ph).

tert-Butyl (2S,3S, α S)-2-Hydroxy-3-[N-methyl-N-(α methylbenzyl)amino]-4-phenylbutanoate 28. n-BuLi (2.5 M in hexanes, 0.57 mL, 1.43 mmol) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of (S)-N-methyl-N-(α -methylbenzyl)amine (200 mg, 1.48 mmol, >99% ee) in THF (3 mL) at -78 °C. The resultant solution was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min. A solution of 6 (202 mg, 0.92 mmol, >99:1 dr [(E):(Z) ratio]) in THF (3 mL) at -78 °C was added, and the resultant solution was stirred at -78 °C for a further 2 h. (+)-CSO (339 mg, 1.48 mmol) was added, and the resultant mixture was allowed to warm to rt over 12 h. Saturated aq NH₄Cl (0.5 mL) was added, and the reulstant mixture was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was partitioned between H₂O (10 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (10 mL), dried, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30-40 °C petrol/Et₂O, 15:1 \rightarrow 3:1) gave 28 as a white solid (149 mg, 44%, >99:1 dr): $\bar{R_f}$ 0.45 (30-40 °C petrol/Et₂O, 3:1); mp 37-38 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +60.8 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} 3504 (O-H), 1719 (C=O); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.31 (3H, d, J = 6.7, C(α)Me), 1.34 $(9H, s, CMe_3)$, 2.47 (3H, s, NMe), 2.54 (1H, dd, J = 13.8, 6.2, Me) $C(4)H_A$, 2.82 (1H, dd, J = 13.8, 8.5, $C(4)H_B$), 3.14 (1H, br s, OH), 3.32 (1H, ddd, J = 8.5, 6.2, 1.8, C(3)H), 3.67 (1H, q, J = 6.7, C(α)H), 4.31 (1H, app br s, C(2)H), 7.00–7.26 (10H, m, Ph); δ_{C} (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$) 21.9 ($C(\alpha)Me$), 27.9 (CMe_3), 32.6 (C(4)), 33.6 (NMe), 62.8 $(C(\alpha))$, 63.5 (C(3)), 69.6 (C(2)), 82.4 (CMe_3) , 125.7, 126.5 (p-Ph), 127.4, 127.9, 128.0, 129.6 (o,m-Ph), 139.8, 145.2 (i-Ph), 174.4 (C(1)); m/z (ESI⁺) 392 ([M + Na]⁺, 3%), 370 ([M + H]⁺, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) $C_{23}H_{32}NO_3^+$ ([M + H]⁺) requires 370.2377; found 370.2379.

 $(2S,3S,\alpha S)$ -3-[N-Benzyl-N- $(\alpha$ -methylbenzyl)amino]-4-phenylbutane-1,2-diol 29. LiAlH₄ (1.0 M in THF, 0.34 mL, 0.34 mmol) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of 27 (100 mg, 0.22 mmol, >99:1 dr) in THF (2 mL) at 0 °C, and the resultant solution was stirred at rt for 2 h. The resultant solution was then cooled to 0 °C, and 1 M aq NaOH (2 mL) was added. The resultant mixture was heated at reflux for 1 h, allowed to cool to rt, and filtered through Celite (eluent EtOAc), and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30-40 $^{\circ}$ C petrol/Et₂O, 3:1 \rightarrow 1:1 \rightarrow neat Et₂O) gave **29** as a colorless oil (80 mg, 95%, >99:1 dr): $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ +14.4 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} 3371 (O–H); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.38 (3H, d, J = 7.0, C(α)Me), 1.83 (2H, br s, OH), 2.91 (1H, dd, $J = 14.0, 8.9, C(4)H_A$), 3.14 (1H, dd, J = 14.0, 4.7, $C(4)H_B$, 3.22 (1H, app dt, J = 8.9, 4.7, C(3)H), 3.38–3.48 (2H, m, $C(1)H_A, C(2)H), 3.51$ (1H, dd, $J = 9.2, 1.5, C(1)H_B), 3.93$ (1H, d, J =14.2, NCH_AH_BPh), 4.00 (1H, q, J = 7.0, C(α)H), 4.02 (1H, d, J = 14.2, NCH_A H_BPh), 7.20–7.44 (15H, m, Ph); δ_C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 14.3 $(C(\alpha)Me)$, 35.1 (C(4)), 51.8 (NCH_2Ph) , 56.9 $(C(\alpha))$, 59.0 (C(3)), 64.1 (C(1)), 72.7 (C(2)), 126.2, 127.2, 127.3 (p-Ph), 127.8, 128.5, 128.5, 128.7, 129.0 (o,m-Ph), 140.3, 140.4, 143.6 (i-Ph); m/z (ESI⁺) 376 ($[M + H]^+$, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) $C_{25}H_{30}NO_2^+$ ($[M + H]^+$) requires 376.2271; found 376.2274.

(25,35,αS)-3-[N-Methyl-N-(α-methylbenzyl)amino]-4-phenylbutane-1,2-diol 30. LiAlH₄ (1.0 M in THF, 7.31 mL, 7.31 mmol) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of 28 (900 mg, 2.44 mmol, >99:1 dr) in THF (25 mL) at 0 °C, and the resultant

solution was stirred at rt for 2 h. The resultant solution was then cooled to 0 °C, and 1 M aq NaOH (25 mL) was added. The resultant mixture was heated at reflux for 1 h, allowed to cool to rt, and filtered through Celite (eluent EtOAc), and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30-40 °C petrol/Et₂O, $3:1 \rightarrow 1:1 \rightarrow \text{neat Et}_2O$) gave 30 as a light yellow solid (727 mg, quant, >99:1 dr); mp 80–82 °C; $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25}$ –101.2 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} 3370 (O–H); δ_{H} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.32 (3H, d, J = 6.7, $C(\alpha)Me$), 2.20 (3H, s, NMe), 2.60–3.20 (2H, br s, OH) overlapping 2.84 (1H, dd, J = 13.9, 6.9, C(4) H_A) and 2.91 (1H, dd, J =13.9, 6.4, $C(4)H_B$), 3.41 (1H, app q, J = 6.7, C(3)H), 3.51 (1H, q, J = 6.7) 6.7, $C(\alpha)H$, 3.62–3.82 (3H, m, $C(1)H_2$, C(2)H), 7.11–7.34 (10H, m, Ph); δ_{C} (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 21.3 (C(α)Me), 33.1 (C(4)), 34.7 (NMe), 63.0 $(C(\alpha))$, 63.6 (C(3)), 66.4 (C(1)), 71.9 (C(2)), 126.2, 127.2 (p-Ph), 127.2, 128.5, 129.2 (o,m-Ph), 140.4, 144.5 (i-Ph); m/z (ESI^{+}) 300 ([M + H]⁺, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) $C_{19}H_{26}NO_{2}^{+}$ ([M + H]⁺) requires 300.1958; found 300.1960.

tert-Butyl (2S,3S, α S)-2-Benzyloxy-3-[N-benzyl-N-(α methylbenzyl)amino]-4-phenylbutanoate 31. NaH (60% dispersion in mineral oil, 28 mg, 0.69 mmol) was stirred in 30-40 °C petrol (1 mL) for 10 min; then the solvent was removed via cannula, and THF (1 mL) was added to the residue. The resultant slurry was cooled to 0 °C. A solution of 27 (300 mg, 0.66 mmol, >99:1 dr) in THF (1 mL) was added dropwise via syringe, and the resultant slurry was allowed to warm to rt over 45 min. BnBr (86 µL, 0.73 mmol) was added, and resultant solution was stirred for a further 2 h at rt, diluted with Et₂O (3 mL), and washed with saturated aq NaHCO₃ (2 \times 5 mL). The combined aqueous washings were extracted with Et_2O (2 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were dried and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30-40 °C petrol/Et₂O, 9:1) gave 31 as a colorless oil (318 mg, 90%, >99:1 dr): $[\alpha]_D^{25} - 21.9$ (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} 1737 (C=O); δ_H (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) 0.95 (3H, d, J = 6.9, $C(\alpha)Me$), 1.42 (9H, s, CMe_3), 2.81 (1H, dd, $J = 14.5, 4.0, C(4)H_A$, 2.91 (1H, dd, $J = 14.5, 9.8, C(4)H_B$, 3.55 $(1H, d, J = 15.9, NCH_AH_BPh), 3.66-3.73 (2H, m, C(2)H, C(3)H),$ 3.79 (1H, q, J = 6.9, $C(\alpha)H$), 4.17 (1H, d, J = 11.0, OCH_AH_BPh), 4.50 $(1H, d, J = 15.9, NCH_AH_BPh), 4.61 (1H, d, J = 11.0, OCH_AH_BPh),$ 7.08–7.38 (20H, m, Ph); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 20.1 (C(α)Me), 28.1 (CMe_3) , 34.3 (C(4)), 50.8 (NCH_2Ph) , 58.4, 60.6 $(C(3), C(\alpha))$, 72.3 (OCH₂Ph), 79.2 (C(2)), 81.3 (CMe₃), 125.8, 126.2, 126.8, 127.7 (p-Ph), 127.8, 127.9, 128.0, 128.1, 128.3, 129.8 (o,m-Ph), 137.7, 140.5, 142.3, 142.8 (*i-Ph*), 171.3 (C(1)); m/z (ESI⁺) 536 ([M + H]⁺, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) $C_{36}H_{42}NO_3^+$ ([M + H]⁺) requires 536.3159; found 536.3159

(2S,3S, aS)-2-Benzyloxy-3-[N-benzyl-N-(a-methylbenzyl)amino]-4-phenylbutan-1-ol 32. Method A (One-Pot Protocol from 27). Step 1. NaH (60% dispersion in mineral oil, 14 mg, 0.35 mmol) was stirred in 30-40 °C petrol (1 mL) for 10 min; then the solvent was removed via cannula, and THF (1 mL) was added to the residue. The resultant slurry was cooled to 0 °C. A solution of 27 (150 mg, 0.33 mmol, >99:1 dr) in THF (1 mL) was added dropwise via syringe, and the resultant slurry was allowed to warm to rt over 45 min. BnBr (43 μ L, 0.36 mmol) was added, and resultant solution was stirred for a further 2 h at rt and cooled to 0 °C. LiAlH₄ (1.0 M in THF, 0.50 mL, 0.50 mmol) was added dropwise via syringe, and the resultant solution was allowed to warm to rt over 2 h. The resultant solution was then cooled to 0 $^\circ\text{C}\textsc{,}$ and 1 M aq NaOH (2 mL) was added. The resultant mixture was heated at reflux for 1 h, allowed to cool to rt, and filtered through Celite (eluent EtOAc), and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30-40 °C petrol/Et₂O, $3:1 \rightarrow 1:1$) gave 32 as a colorless oil (204 mg, 94%, >99:1 dr): $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ -30.5 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); $\nu_{\rm max}$ 3450 (O–H); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.17 (3H, d, J = 7.0, $C(\alpha)Me$, 1.86 (1H, app t, J = 5.5, OH), 2.95–3.06 (2H, m, C(4)H₂), 3.28 (1H, app q, J = 5.0, C(2)H), 3.35–3.42 (2H, m, $C(1)H_A$) C(3)H), 3.46–3.54 (1H, m, $C(1)H_B$), 3.82 (1H, d, J = 14.7, NCH_AH_BPh), 3.90 (1H, q, J = 7.0, $C(\alpha)H$), 4.13 (1H, d, J = 14.7, NCH_AH_BPh), 4.38 (1H, d, J = 11.4, OCH_AH_BPh), 4.45 (1H, d, J = 11.4, OCH_AH_BPh), 7.12–7.39 (20H, m, Ph); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 17.4 (C(α)Me), 35.0 (C(4)), 51.3 (NCH₂Ph), 57.7 (C(α)), 59.6

(C(3)), 63.1 (C(1)), 72.0 (OCH₂Ph), 80.8 (C(2)), 125.7, 126.8, 127.0, 127.6 (*p*-Ph), 127.7, 128.0, 128.1, 128.2, 128.3, 128.6, 129.4 (*o*,*m*-Ph), 138.2, 141.0, 141.3, 143.6 (*i*-Ph); m/z (ESI⁺) 466 ([M + H]⁺, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) $C_{32}H_{36}NO_2^+$ ([M + H]⁺) requires 466.2741; found 466.2743.

Method B (from 31). LiAlH₄ (1.0 M in THF, 0.70 mL, 0.70 mmol) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of 31 (250 mg, 0.22 mmol, >99:1 dr) in THF (5 mL) at 0 °C, and the resultant solution was stirred at rt for 2 h. The resultant solution was then cooled to 0 °C, and 1 M aq NaOH (5 mL) was added. The resultant mixture was heated at reflux for 1 h, allowed to cool to rt, and filtered through Celite (eluent EtOAc), and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30–40 °C petrol/Et₂O, 3:1 \rightarrow 1:1) gave 32 as a colorless oil (204 mg, 94%, >99:1 dr).

 $(3R,4S,\alpha S)$ -3-Benzyloxy-4-[N-benzyl-N-(α -methylbenzyl)amino]-5-phenylpentanenitrile 34. A solution of DIAD (127 μ L, 0.64 mmol) in THF (1 mL) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of 32 (100 mg, 0.22 mmol, >99:1 dr), PPh₃ (169 mg, 0.64 mmol), and acetone cyanohydrin (59 μ L, 0.64 mmol) in THF (7 mL) at 0 °C, at such a rate as to maintain the temperature at 0 °C (approximately 15 min addition time). The resultant solution was then allowed to warm to rt and stirred at rt for 16 h and concentrated in vacuo to give an 85:15 mixture of 34 and 35, respectively. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30-40 °C petrol/Et₂O, 5:1 \rightarrow 3:1) gave an impure sample of 35 as a colorless oil (<10%, >95:5 dr): $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₂) [selected peaks] 1.39 (3H, d, I = 6.9, $C(\alpha)Me$, 2.74 (1H, dd, $J = 13.5, 5.7, C(1)H_A$), 2.84 (1H, dd, J = 13.5, 5.7, C(1)H_A), 2.84 (1H, dd, J = 13.5, 5.7, C(6.9, $C(1)H_B$, 3.59 (1H, d, J = 14.1, NCH_AH_BPh), 3.72 (1H, d, J = 14.1, NCH₄H₈Ph), 3.90–3.97 (2H, m, C(2)H, C(α)H), 4.35 (1H, d, J = 12.0, OCH_AH_BPh), 4.57 (1H, d, J = 12.0, OCH_AH_BPh), 6.09 (1H, dd, J = 16.0, 7.8, C(3)H), 6.49 (1H, d, J = 16.0, C(4)H); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) [selected peaks] 16.3 (C(α)Me), 54.9 (C(1)), 55.7 (NCH_2Ph) , 59.0 $(C(\alpha))$, 70.2 (OCH_2Ph) , 79.4 (C(2)), 129.8 (C(3)), 132.6 (C(4)); HRMS (ESI⁺) $C_{32}H_{34}NO^+$ ([M + H]⁺) requires 448.2635; found 448.2638. Further elution gave 34 as a colorless oil (84 mg, 82%, >99:1 dr): $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -27.0 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} 2247 $(C \equiv N); \delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, $CDCI_3$) 1.18 (3H, d, J = 7.0, $C(\alpha)Me$), 2.15 $(1H, dd J = 17.0, 6.7, C(2)H_A), 2.41 (1H, dd, J = 17.0, 4.6, C(2)H_B),$ 2.96–3.08 (2H, m, C(5) H_2), 3.30 (1H, app q, J = 5.9, C(4)H), 3.34– 3.40 (1H, m, C(3)H), 3.81 (1H, d, J = 14.5, NCH_AH_BPh), 3.86 (1H, q, J = 7.0, $C(\alpha)H$, 4.04 (1H, d, J = 14.5, NCH_AH_BPh), 4.37 (1H, d, J= 11.0, OCH_AH_BPh), 4.57 (1H, d, J = 11.0, OCH_AH_BPh), 7.18–7.37 (20H, m, Ph); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 17.5 (C(α)Me), 21.3 (C(2)), 34.0 (C(5)), 51.2 (NCH₂Ph), 57.8 (C(α)), 61.2 (C(4)), 72.7 (OCH₂Ph), 118.4 (C(1)), 126.0 127.0, 127.2 (p-Ph), 127.8 (o,m-Ph), 127.9 (p-Ph), 128.0, 128.3, 128.4, 128.5, 129.4 (o,m-Ph), 137.2, 140.5, 140.6, 143.5 (*i-Ph*);⁷² m/z (ESI⁺) 475 ([M + H]⁺, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) $C_{33}H_{35}N_2O^+$ ([M + H]⁺) requires 475.2744; found 475.2749.

 $(4S, \alpha S, E)$ - and $(4S, \alpha S, Z)$ -4-[N-Benzyl-N-(α -methylbenzyl)amino]-5-phenylpent-2-enenitrile 36 and 37. Vinylmagnesium bromide (1.0 M in THF, 0.64 mL, 0.64 mmol) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of 34 (100 mg, 0.21 mmol, >99:1 dr) in $Et_2O(2 mL)$ at rt, and the resultant solution was stirred at rt for 16 h. Saturated aq NH₄Cl (0.5 mL) and H₂O (0.5 mL) were sequentially added. The aqueous layer was extracted with Et_2O (3 × 5 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed sequentially with saturated aq NaHCO₃ (10 mL) and brine (10 mL), dried, and concentrated in vacuo to give a 55:45 mixture of 36 and 37, respectively. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30-40 °C petrol/Et₂O, 5:1 \rightarrow 1:1) gave a 55:45 mixture of 36 and 37 as a yellow oil (43 mg, 38%). Data for mixture: ν_{max} 2222 (C=N); m/z (ESI⁺) 367 ([M + H]⁺, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) C₂₆H₂₆N₂Na⁺ ([M + Na]⁺) requires 389.1988; found 389.1986. Data for 36: $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) [selected peaks] 1.29 (3H, d, J = 7.0, C(α)Me), 2.73 (1H, dd, J = 13.5, 9.1, $C(5)H_A$), 3.09 (1H, dd, $J = 13.5, 5.4, C(5)H_B$), 3.55–3.64 (1H, m, C(4)H), 3.78 (1H, d, J = 15.1, NCH_AH_BPh), 3.95 (1H, q, J = 7.0, $C(\alpha)H)$, 4.10 (1H, d, J = 15.1, NCH_AH_BPh), 5.05 (1H, dd, J = 16.4, 1.2, C(2)H), 6.45 (1H, dd, J = 16.4, 7.2, C(3)H); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz,

CDCl₃) [selected peaks] 19.5 (C(α)Me), 38.8 (C(5)), 50.7 (NCH₂Ph), 59.0 (C(α)), 61.6 (C(4)), 101.0 (C(2)), 117.2 (C(1)), 154.4 (C(3)). Data for 37: $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) [selected peaks] 1.26 (3H, d, J = 7.0, C(α)Me), 2.70 (1H, dd J = 13.6, 7.5 C(5)H_A), 3.05 (1H, dd, J = 13.6, 7.2, C(5)H_B), 3.77 (1H, d, J = 15.1, NCH_AH_BPh), 3.94 (1H, q, J = 7.0, C(α)H), 4.06 (1H, d, J = 15.1, NCH_AH_BPh), 4.13–4.21 (1H, m, C(4)H), 5.06 (1H, d, J = 11.0, C(2)H), 6.26 (1H, dd, J = 11.0, 9.9, C(3)H); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) [selected peaks] 19.6 (C(α)Me), 39.9 (C(5)), 50.5 (NCH₂Ph), 58.9 (C(α)), 61.1 (C(4)), 100.0 (C(2)), 115.3 (C(1)), 153.4 (C(3)).

 $(2S, \alpha S, E)$ -1-Phenyl-2-[N-benzyl-N-(α -methylbenzyl)amino]dodec-3-en-5-one 38 and $(25,3R,\alpha S)$ -1-Phenyl-2-[*N*-benzyl-*N*-(α -methylbenzyl)amino]-3-benzyloxydodecan-5-one 39. *n*-Heptylmagnesium bromide (1.0 M in Et₂O, 0.64 mL, 0.64 mmol) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of 34 (100 mg, 0.21 mmol, >99:1 dr) in Et₂O (2 mL) at rt, and the resultant solution was stirred at rt for 16 h. Saturated aq NH_4Cl (0.5 mL) and H_2O (0.5 mL) were then added sequentially. The aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (3 \times 2 mL), and the combined organic extracts were washed sequentially with saturated aq NaHCO3 (10 mL) and brine (10 mL), dried, and concentrated in vacuo to give a 50:50 mixture of 38 and 39. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30-40 °C petrol/Et₂O, 5:1 \rightarrow 1:1) gave an impure sample of 39 as colorless oil (34 mg, ~25%, >90:10 dr): ν_{max} 1713 (C=O); δ_{H} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 0.89 (3H, t, J = 6.9, C(12)H₃) 1.09-1.35 (11H, m, $C(8)H_2-C(11)H_2$, $C(\alpha)Me$, 1.35–1.45 (2H, m, $C(7)H_2$), 2.20–2.18 $(2H, m, C(6)H_2), 2.28 (1H, dd, J = 16.7, 7.0, C(4)H_A), 2.40 (1H, dd, J)$ = 16.7, 4.7, $C(4)H_B$, 2.94–3.08 (3H, m, $C(1)H_2$, C(2)H), 3.80 (1H, d, J = 15.0, NCH_AH_BPh), 3.94 (1H, q, J = 6.9, $C(\alpha)H$), 4.04–4.12 $(1H, m, C(3)H), 4.16 (1H, d, J = 15.0, NCH_AH_BPh), 4.35 (2H, app s, 100)$ OCH₂Ph), 7.12–7.38 (20H, m, Ph); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 14.1 (C(12)), 18.4 $(C(\alpha)Me)$, 22.6 (C(8)-C(11)), 23.6 (C(7)), 29.1, 31.7 (C(8)-C(11)), 34.7 (C(1)), 43.9 (C(6)), 46.7 (C(4)), 51.0(NCH₂Ph), 58.3 (*C*(*α*)), 62.4 (*C*(2)), 71.9 (OCH₂Ph), 76.9 (*C*(3)), 125.7, 126.5, 126.7, 127.4 (p-Ph), 127.6, 127.9, 128.1, 128.2, 128.3, 129.4 (o,m-Ph), 138.5, 141.3, 142.0, 144.6 (i-Ph), 210.1 (C(5)); m/z(ESI⁺) 576 ([M + H]⁺, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) C₄₀H₄₉NNaO₂⁺ ([M + Na]⁺) requires 598.3656; found 598.3653. Further elution gave 38 as a colorless oil (44 mg, 45%, >99:1 dr): $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -15.9 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} 1699 (C=O); δ_{H} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 0.91 (3H, t, J = 6.9, $C(12)H_3$, 1.16–1.35 (11H, m, $C(8)H_2-C(11)H_2$, $C(\alpha)Me$, 1.43– 1.54 (2H, m, C(7) H_2), 2.20–2.35 (2H, m, C(6) H_2), 2.79 (1H, dd, J = 13.6, 8.2, $C(1)H_A$, 3.06 (1H, dd, J = 13.6, 6.5, $C(1)H_B$, 3.66 (1H, app q, J = 7.5, C(2)H), 3.74 (1H, d, J = 15.3, NCH_AH_BPh), 3.97 (1H, q, J = 6.9, $C(\alpha)H$, 4.11 (1H, d, J = 15.3, NCH_AH_BPh), 5.75 (1H, dd, J= 16.0, 1.0, C(4)H, 6.51 (1H, dd, J = 16.0, 7.8, C(3)H), 6.98-7.40 (15H, m, Ph); δ_{C} (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 14.1 (C(12)), 20.5 (C(α)Me), 22.6 (C(8)-C(11)), 24.3 (C(7)), 29.1, 29.2, 31.7 (C(8)-C(11)), 39.2 (C(1)), 40.0 (C(6)), 50.7 (NCH_2Ph) , 59.2 $(C(\alpha))$, 61.2 (C(2)), 126.2, 126.6, 127.0 (p-Ph), 127.6, 127.9, 128.2, 128.3, 128.4, 129.4 (o,m-Ph), 131.3 (C(4)), 138.7, 141.6, 144.4 (i-Ph), 145.0 (C(3)), 200.8 (C(5)); m/z (ESI⁺) 468 ([M + H]⁺, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) C₃₃H₄₁NNaO⁺ ([M + Na]⁺) requires 490.3080; found 490.3081.

(2S,3S,αS)-1,2-Epoxy-3-[N-benzyl-N-(α-methylbenzyl)amino]-4-phenylbutane 44. Et₃N (333 µL, 2.40 mmol) and MsCl (65 μ L, 0.84 mmol) were sequentially added dropwise to a stirred solution of 29 (300 mg, 0.80 mmol, >99:1 dr) in CH₂Cl₂ (3 mL) at 0 °C. The resultant solution was stirred for 1 h at rt, and then H₂O (10 mL) was added. The resultant mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 \times 10 mL). The combined organic layers were dried and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in MeOH (3 mL), and K₂CO₃ (552 mg, 4.00 mmol) was added. The resultant mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h and filtered through Celite (eluent MeOH), and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was partitioned between H₂O (10 mL) and Et_2O (10 mL), and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et_2O (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aq NaHCO₃ (40 mL), dried, and concentrated in vacuo to give a 90:10 mixture of 44 and 45, respectively. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30-40 °C petrol/Et₂O, 10:1) gave 44 as a colorless oil (177 mg, 62%, >99:1 dr): $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -29.0 (c 1.0 in

CHCl₃); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.18 (3H, d, J = 6.9, $C(\alpha)Me$), 2.19 $(1H, dd, J = 4.9, 2.9, C(1)H_A), 2.41 (1H, dd, J = 4.9, 4.3, C(1)H_B),$ 2.65-2.78 (2H, m, C(2)H, C(4)H_A), 2.82-2.92 (2H, m, C(3)H, $C(4)H_B$, 3.85 (1H, d, J = 15.0, NCH_AH_BPh), 3.99 (1H, q, J = 6.9, $C(\alpha)H$, 4.05 (1H, d, I = 15.0, NCH_AH_BPh), 7.03-7.28 (15H, m, Ph); δ_{C} (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 19.0 (C(α)Me), 35.6 (C(4)), 46.0 (C(1)), 51.1 (NCH_2Ph) , 53.1 (C(2)), 58.1 $(C(\alpha))$, 60.4 (C(3)), 126.0, 126.7, 126.9 (p-Ph), 127.7, 128.1, 128.2, 129.5 (o,m-Ph), 139.8, 141.4, 144.3 (i-Ph); m/z (ESI⁺) 358 ([M + H]⁺), 100%; HRMS (ESI⁺) C₂₅H₂₈NO⁺ ([M + H]⁺) requires 358.2165; found 358.2165. Further elution gave an impure sample of 45 as a colorless oil (28 mg, <10%, >95:5 dr): $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.35 (3H, d, J = 6.8, $C(\alpha)Me$), 2.40 (1H, dd, J =12.9, 10.2, $C(1)H_A$), 2.57 (1H, dd, J = 12.9, 3.4, $C(1)H_B$), 3.25 (1H, br s, OH), 3.53 (1H, d, J = 13.4, NCH_AH_BPh), 3.70 (1H, d, J = 13.4, NCH_AH_BPh), 3.96 (1H, q, J = 6.8, C(α)H), 4.12-4.20 (1H, m, C(2)H), 5.90 (1H, dd, J = 16.0, 6.5, C(3)H), 6.47 (1H, d, J = 16.0, 6.5, C(3)H) C(4)*H*), 7.09–7.30 (15H, m, *Ph*); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 11.5 (C(α)*Me*), 54.7 (NCH₂Ph), 55.4 (C(1)), 56.9 (C(α)), 68.4 (C(2)), 126.4 (o,m-Ph), 127.2, 127.3, 127.5 (p-Ph), 127.9, 128.3, 128.5, 128.6, 129.0 (o,m-Ph), 129.8 (C(3)), 131.0 (C(4)), 136.7, 139.4, 142.8 (i-*Ph*); HRMS (ESI⁺) $C_{25}H_{28}NO^+([M + H]^+)$ requires 358.2165; found 358.2167.

(2S,3R,αS)-1-Phenyl-2-[N-benzyl-N-(α-methylbenzyl)amino]-3-hydroxydodecan-5-one (1',3'-Propylene)dithioacetal 48. n-BuLi (0.57 mL, 1.42 mmol) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of 2-n-heptyl-1,3-dithiane⁶⁴ (193 mg, 0.95 mmol) in THF (0.8 mL) at 0 °C, and the resultant solution was stirred at rt for 1 h. A solution of 44 (170 mg, 0.46 mmol, >99:1 dr) in THF (0.2 mL) was then added dropwise; the resultant solution was stirred at rt for 1 h, and then H₂O (10 mL) was added. The resultant mixture was extracted with Et₂O (3×10 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed sequentially with H₂O (30 mL), 2 M aq NaOH (30 mL), and H₂O (30 mL), dried, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30-40 °C petrol) gave recovered 2n-heptyl-1,3-dithiane (96 mg, 50%). Further elution (eluent 30-40 °C petrol/Et₂O, 10:1 \rightarrow 5:1) gave 48 as a colorless oil (226 mg, 86%, >99:1 dr): $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ -4.0 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} 3408 (O–H); δ_{H} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 0.91 (3H, t, J = 7.0, C(12)H₃), 1.20 (3H, d, J = 6.9, $C(\alpha)Me$) overlapping 1.14–1.53 (11H, m, $C(4)H_A$, $C(7)H_2$ – $C(11)H_2$, 1.62–1.84 (3H, m, $C(6)H_2$, $C(2')H_A$), 1.84–1.96 (2H, m, C(4) $H_{\rm B}$, C(2') $H_{\rm B}$), 2.46–2.69 (3H, m, C(1') H_2 , C(3') H_A), 2.81 (1H, ddd, J = 13.7, 10.5, 2.9, C(3') $H_{\rm B}$), 2.95–3.11 (3H, m, C(1) H_{2}) C(2)H), 3.50 (1H, d, J = 2.6, OH), 3.95 (1H, d, J = 15.1, NCH_AH_BPh), 4.02–4.11 (2H, m, C(3)H, C(α)H), 4.25 (1H, d, J = 15.1, NCH_AH_BPh), 7.16–7.45 (15H, m, Ph); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 14.1 (C(12)), 18.0 ($C(\alpha)Me$), 22.7, 23.9 (C(7)-C(11)), 24.7 (C(2')), 25.7 (C(1')), 26.3 (C(3')), 29.1, 29.8, 31.9 (C(7)-C(11)), 34.2 (C(1)), 39.4 (C(6)), 42.6 (C(4)), 51.2 (NCH₂Ph), 52.3 (C(5)), 58.0 $(C(\alpha)), 63.7 (C(2)), 69.9 (C(3)), 125.7, 126.5, 126.8 (p-Ph), 127.7,$ 128.1, 128.2, 129.7 (o,m-Ph), 141.3, 142.2, 144.7 (i-Ph); m/z (ESI⁺) 576 ($[M + H]^+$), 100%; HRMS (ESI⁺) C₃₆H₅₀NOS₂⁺ ($[M + H]^+$) requires 576.3328; found 576.3329.

 $(2S, 3R, \alpha S)$ -1-Phenyl-2-[N-benzyl-N-(α -methylbenzyl)amino]-3-hydroxydodecan-5-one 49. PIFA (2.24 g, 5.21 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of 48 (1.00 g, 1.74 mmol) in MeOH/H₂O (v/v, 9/1, 20 mL) at rt. The resultant solution was stirred at rt for 2 h; then saturated aq NaHCO₃ (1 mL) was added, and the resultant mixture was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was partitioned between Et₂O (20 mL) and H₂O (20 mL), and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et_2O (2 × 20 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (60 mL), dried, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30–40 $^{\circ}C$ petrol/Et_2O, 10:1 \rightarrow 5:1 \rightarrow 3:1) gave 49 as a colorless oil (500 mg, 59%, >99:1 dr): $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +5.8 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} 3502 (O–H), 1703 (C=O); δ_{H} (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$ 0.91 (3H, t, J = 6.9, $C(12)H_3$), 1.15–1.38 (11H, m, $C(8)H_2$ – $C(11)H_2$, $C(\alpha)Me$, 1.38–1.50 (2H, m, $C(7)H_2$), 2.06–2.23 (3H, m, $C(4)H_A$, $C(6)H_2$), 2.44 (1H, dd, J = 16.5, 2.1, $C(4)H_B$), 2.78 (1H, br s, OH), 2.92-3.12 (3H, m, C(1)H₂, C(2)H), 3.85-3.93 (1H, m, C(3)H), 3.94 (1H, d, J = 14.8, NCH_AH_BPh), 4.00 (1H, q, J = 6.9, $C(\alpha)H$, 4.10 (1H, d, J = 14.8, NCH_AH_BPh), 7.18–7.40 (15H, m, Ph);
$$\begin{split} &\delta_{\rm C} \ (100 \ {\rm MHz}, \ {\rm CDCl}_3) \ 14.1 \ (C(12)), \ 16.5 \ (C(\alpha)Me), \ 22.6 \ (C(8)-C(11)), \ 23.6 \ (C(7)), \ 29.0, \ 29.1, \ 31.6 \ (C(8)-C(11)), \ 34.6 \ (C(1)), \ 43.3 \ (C(6)), \ 46.9 \ (C(4)), \ 51.5 \ ({\rm NCH}_2{\rm Ph}), \ 57.3 \ (C(\alpha)), \ 61.6 \ (C(2)), \ 69.0 \ (C(3)), \ 125.9, \ 126.8, \ 127.0 \ (p-Ph), \ 127.8, \ 128.2, \ 128.3, \ 128.5, \ 129.3 \ (o,m-Ph), \ 141.1, \ 141.2, \ 144.0 \ (i-Ph), \ 212.4 \ (C(5)); \ m/z \ ({\rm ESI}^+) \ 486 \ ([{\rm M}+{\rm H}]^+, \ 100\%); \ {\rm HRMS} \ ({\rm ESI}^+) \ C_{33}{\rm H}_{43}{\rm NNaO}_2^+ \ ([{\rm M}+{\rm Na}]^+) \ {\rm requires} \ 508.3186; \ {\rm found} \ 508.3187. \end{split}$$

(25,3*R*,5*R*)-*N*(1)-Methyl-2-benzyl-5-(heptan-1'-yl)pyrrolidin-3-ol [C(3)-Epimer of (+)-Preussin B] 52 and (25,3*R*,55)-*N*(1)-Methyl-2-benzyl-5-(heptan-1'-yl)pyrrolidin-3-ol [C(2)-Epimer of (-)-Preussin B] 53. Step 1. Pearlman's catalyst (50% w/w substrate, 35 mg) was added to a degassed solution of 49 (70 mg, 0.14 mmol, >99:1 dr) in MeOH (1 mL), and the resultant suspension was stirred vigorously under H₂ (5 atm) at rt for 16 h. The resultant suspension was filtered through Celite (eluent MeOH) and concentrated in vacuo to give a 25:75 mixture of 50 and 51, respectively (48 mg).

Step 2. Pd/C (50% w/w substrate, 24 mg) and formaldehyde (37 wt % aq solution, 22 μ L, 2.13 mmol) were added sequentially to a degassed solution of the residue from the previous step in MeOH (1 mL), and the resultant suspension was stirred vigorously under H_2 (1 atm) for 10 min. The resultant suspension was filtered through Celite (eluent MeOH) and concentrated in vacuo to give a 25:75 mixture of 52 and 53, respectively. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30-40 °C petrol/EtOAc, $10:1 \rightarrow 5:1 \rightarrow 3:1 \rightarrow 1:1 \rightarrow neat$ EtOAc) gave 52 as a light yellow oil (8 mg, 18% from 49, >99:1 dr): $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25}$ +1.7 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); $\nu_{\rm max}$ 3365 (O–H); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) 0.88 (3H, t, J = 7.0, $C(7')H_3$), 0.94 (1H, d, J = 3.3, OH), 1.12–1.35 (11H, m, C(1') H_A , C(2') H_2 –C(6') H_2), 1.60–1.72 (2H, m, $C(4)H_2$, 1.77 (1H, ddd, J = 13.3, 6.7, 2.8, $C(1')H_B$), 2.36 (3H, s, NMe), 2.40–2.51 (2H, m, C(2)H, C(5)H), 2.55 (1H, dd, J = 13.3, 9.6, $C(2)CH_{A}H_{B}Ph$), 3.06 (1H, dd, J = 13.3, 4.5, $C(2)CH_{A}H_{B}Ph$), 3.98– 4.04 (1H, m, C(3)H), 7.18–7.34 (5H, m, Ph); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 14.1 (C(7')), 22.7, 26.4, 29.3, 29.9, 31.8 (C(2')-C(6')), 33.9 (C(1')), 39.1 (NMe), 39.1 (C(4)), 39.4 (C(2)CH₂Ph), 64.9 (C(5)), 74.8 (C(3)), 77.2 (C(2)), 126.4 (p-Ph), 128.6, 129.3 (o,m-Ph), 138.9 (i-*Ph*); m/z (ESI⁺) 290 ([M + H]⁺, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) C₁₉H₃₂NO⁺ $([M + H]^+)$ requires 290.2478; found 290.2479. Further elution gave **53** as a light yellow oil (25 mg, 55% from **49**, >99:1 dr): $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ +53.3 (*c* 1.0 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} 3318 (O–H); δ_{H} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 0.89 (3H, t, $J = 7.0, C(7')H_3$, 1.17–1.38 (11H, m, $C(1')H_A, C(2')H_2-C(6')H_2$), 1.52 (1H, app dd, J = 14.5, 4.7, $C(4)H_A$), 1.63–1.74 (1H, m, $C(1')H_B$, 2.04 (1H, s, OH), 2.20 (1H, dd, J = 13.3, 10.8, $C(2)CH_{A}H_{B}Ph$, 2.38 (1H, ddd, $J = 14.5, 8.8, 6.5, C(4)H_{B}$), 2.46 (3H, s, NMe), 2.60-2.71 (1H, m, C(5)H), 2.98 (1H, dd, J = 13.3, 4.1, J) $C(2)CH_AH_BPh$), 3.16 (1H, dd, J = 10.8, 4.1, C(2)H), 3.90 (1H, app s, C(3)*H*), 7.12–7.32 (5*H*, m, *Ph*); δ_{C} (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 14.1 ($C(\overline{7'})$), 22.6, 26.3, 29.3, 29.9 (C(2')-C(6')), 31.5 (C(2)CH₂Ph), 31.8 (C(2')-C(6')), 33.6 (C(1')), 35.1 (NMe), 38.9 (C(4)), 61.3 (C(5)), 73.3 (C(3)), 73.9 (C(2)), 126.1 (p-Ph), 128.6, 129.0 (o,m-*Ph*), 139.4 (*i*-*Ph*); m/z (ESI⁺) 290 ([M + H]⁺, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) $C_{19}H_{32}NO^+$ ([M + H]⁺) requires 290.2478; found 290.2478.

tert-Butyl (2*R*,3*S*, α *S*)-2-Hydroxy-3-[*N*-benzyl-*N*-(α -methylbenzyl)amino]-4-phenylbutanoate 56. Step 1. DMSO (3.99 mL, 56.1 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of (COCl)₂ (950 μ L, 11.2 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (14 mL) at -78 °C, and the resultant solution was stirred at -78 °C for 5 min. A solution of 27 (2.50 g, 5.61 mmol, >99:1 dr) in CH₂Cl₂ (14 mL) at -78 °C was added dropwise, and the resultant solution was stirred for 30 min. Et₃N (3.13 mL, 22.4 mmol) was added; the resultant solution was allowed to warm to rt over 10 min, and then H₂O (20 mL) was added. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 20 mL), and the combined organic layers were dried and concentrated in vacuo to give 54 as a yellow oil (3.30 g): $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.41 (9H, s, CMe₃), 1.42 (1H, d, *J* = 6.9, C(α)Me), 2.83 (1H, dd, *J* = 13.5, 4.3, C(4)H_A), 3.19 (1H, dd, *J* = 13.5, 9.5, C (4)H_B), 3.93 (2H, app s, NCH₂Ph), 4.04 (1H, q, *J* = 6.9, C(α)H, 4.52 (1H, dd, *J* = 9.5, 4.3, C(3)H), 7.07-7.28 (15H, m, Ph).

Step 2. NaBH₄ (212 mg, 5.61 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of the residue 54 from the previous step in MeOH (10 mL) at -20 °C. The resultant solution was stirred at -20 °C for 2 h, then

concentrated in vacuo. The residue was partitioned between H₂O (10 mL) and Et₂O (10 mL) and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O $(2 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried and concentrated in vacuo to give a 90:10 mixture of 56 and 27, respectively. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30-40 °C petrol/Et₂O, 20:1 \rightarrow 5:1) gave a 90:10 mixture of 56 and 27, respectively, as a colorless oil (2.13 g, 85%). Data for mixture: $\nu_{\rm max}$ 3650 (O-H); m/z (ESI⁺) 446 ([M + H]⁺, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) $C_{29}H_{35}NNaO_3^+$ ([M + Na]⁺) requires 468.2509; found 468.2507. Data for 56: $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.29 (3H, d, J = 7.0, C(α)Me), 1.35 (9H, s, CMe₃), 2.69 (1H, dd, $J = 13.2, 4.4, C(4)H_{A}$), 2.93 (1H, dd, J = 13.2, 10.2, C(4) H_B), 3.01 (1H, d, J = 4.4, OH), 3.42 (1H, dt, J= 10.2, 4.4, C(3)H), 3.79 (1H, app t, J = 4.4, C(2)H), 3.83 (1H, d, J = 14.8, NCH_AH_BPh), 4.06 (1H, d, I = 14.8, NCH_AH_BPh), 4.16 (1H, q, I= 7.0, C(α)H), 7.09–7.29 (15H, m, Ph); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 18.7 $(C(\alpha)Me)$, 27.9 (CMe_3) , 33.8 (C(4)), 50.4 (NCH_2Ph) , 61.1 $(C(\alpha))$, 63.0 (C(3)), 72.6 (C(2)), 82.0 (CMe₃), 126.0, 126.5, 127.0 (p-Ph), 128.1, 128.4, 128.5, 129.4 (o,m-Ph), 139.8, 141.6, 143.4 (i-Ph), 173.3 (C(1)). Data for 27:⁵⁵ $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 18.5 (C(α)Me), 27.9 (CMe_3) , 34.1 (C(4)), 51.0 (NCH_2Ph) , 58.0 $(C(\alpha))$, 61.0 (C(3)), 71.3 (C(2)), 82.5 (CMe₃), 125.9, 126.4, 126.8 (p-Ph), 127.9, 128.0, 128.1, 129.6 (o,m-Ph), 139.8, 141.9, 143.2 (i-Ph), 173.9 (C(1)).

tert-Butyl (2R,3S, α S)-2-Hydroxy-3-[N-methyl-N-(α methylbenzyl)amino]-4-phenylbutanoate 57. Step 1. DMSO (2.88 mL, 40.6 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of (COCl)₂ (686 µL, 8.11 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) at -78 °C, and the resultant solution was stirred at -78 °C for 5 min. A solution of 28 (1.50 g, 4.06 mmol, >99:1 dr) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) at -78 °C was added dropwise, and the resultant solution was stirred for 30 min. Et₂N (2.26 mL, 16.2 mmol) was added; the resultant solution was allowed to warm to rt over 10 min, and then H₂O (20 mL) was added. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 20 mL), and the combined organic layers were dried and concentrated in vacuo to give **55** as a yellow oil (1.81 g): $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.40 (3H, d, *J* = 6.7, $C(\alpha)Me$), 1.55 (9H, s, CMe_3), 2.10 (3H, s, NMe), 2.61 (1H, dd, J =13.6, 3.9, $C(4)H_A$), 3.04 (1H, dd, $J = 13.6, 9.2, C(4)H_B$), 3.77 (1H, q, J $= 6.7, C(\alpha)H), 4.54 (1H, dd, J = 9.2, 3.9, C(3)H), 7.12-7.35 (10H, m, M)$ Ph).

Step 2. NaBH₄ (153 mg, 4.06 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of the residue 55 from the previous step in MeOH (7.5 mL) at -20 $^\circ \text{C}.$ The resultant solution was stirred at –20 $^\circ \text{C}$ for 2 h and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was partitioned between H₂O (10 mL) and Et₂O (10 mL), and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et_2O (2 × 10 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried and concentrated in vacuo to give a 92:8 mixture of 57 and 28, respectively. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30–40 °C petrol/Et₂O, 20:1 \rightarrow 5:1) gave 57 as a colorless oil (1.35 g, 90%, >99:1 dr): $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -87.5 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} 3501 (O-H), 1725 (C=O); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.35 (3H, d, J = 6.8, C(α)Me), 1.49 (9H, s, CMe_3), 2.30 (3H, s, NMe), 2.59 (1H, dd, J = 13.2, 5.0, $C(4)H_A$ 2.91 (1H, dd, $J = 13.2, 9.8, C(4)H_B$), 3.48–3.55 (2H, m, C(3)H, OH), 3.67 (1H, q, J = 6.8, C(α)H), 3.91 (1H, d, J = 4.7, C(2)H), 7.17–7.34 (10H, m, Ph); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 21.6 $(C(\alpha)Me)$, 28.2 (CMe_3) , 31.2 (C(4)), 34.2 (NMe), 62.6 (C(3)), 63.3 $(C(\alpha))$, 72.6 (C(2)), 82.0 (CMe_3) , 126.0, 126.9 (p-Ph), 127.3, 128.3, 128.4, 129.4 (o,m-Ph), 139.9, 144.7 (i-Ph), 173.6 (C(1)); m/z (ESI⁺) 370 ($[M + H]^+$, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) C₂₃H₃₁NNaO₃⁺ ($[M + Na]^+$) requires 392.2196; found 392.2191.

(2*R*,3*S*,*αS*)-3-[*N*-Benzyl-*N*-(*α*-methylbenzyl)amino]-4-phenylbutan-1,2-diol 58. LiAlH₄ (2.4 M in THF, 4.68 mL, 11.2 mmol) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of a 90:10 mixture of 56 and 27, respectively (2.50 g, 5.39 mmol) in THF (40 mL) at 0 °C, and the resultant solution was allowed to warm to rt over 2 h. The resultant solution was then cooled to 0 °C, and 1 M aq NaOH (40 mL) was added. The resultant mixture was heated at reflux for 1 h, allowed to cool to rt, and filtered through Celite (eluent EtOAc), and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to give a 90:10 mixture of 58 and 29, respectively. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30–40 °C petrol/Et₂O, 3:1 → neat Et₂O) gave 58 as white solid (1.01 g, 77%, >99:1 dr): mp 136–138 °C; $[α]_{D5}^{25}$ +19.1 (*c* 1.0 in CHCl₃);

 $\nu_{\text{max}}
3410 (O-H); δ_{\text{H}} (400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) 1.46 (3H, d, J = 7.1, C(α)Me), 1.99 (1H, app t, J = 6.3, OH), 2.75 (1H, dt, J = 10.8, 5.3, C(1)H_{\text{A}}), 2.78-2.90 (1H, m, C(4)H_{\text{A}}), 3.15-3.29 (3H, m, C(1)H_{\text{B}}) C(3)H, C(4)H_{\text{B}}), 3.39 (1H, app dt, J = 7.1, 3.6, C(2)H), 3.82 (1H, d, J = 13.2, \text{NCH}_{\text{A}}\text{H}_{\text{B}}\text{Ph}), 3.92 (1H, br s, OH), 4.09 (1H, q, J = 7.1, C(α)H), 4.11 (1H, d, J = 13.2, \text{NCH}_{\text{A}}\text{H}_{\text{B}}\text{Ph}), 7.15-7.42 (15H, m, Ph), δ_{\text{C}} (100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) 13.3 (C(α)Me), 35.3 (C(4)), 51.3 (NCH_2Ph), 56.2 (C(α)), 58.0 (C(3)), 64.0 (C(1)), 71.1 (C(2)), 126.4, 127.3, 127.9 (p-Ph), 128.1, 128.4, 128.7, 128.9, 129.0, 129.3 (o,m-Ph), 139.4, 139.6, 143.0 (i-Ph); m/z (ESI⁺) 376 ([M + H]⁺, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) C₂₅H₃₀NO₂⁺ ([M + H]⁺) requires 376.2271; found 376.2276.$

 $(2R,3S,\alpha S)$ -3-[N-Methyl-N-(α -methylbenzyl)amino]-4-phenylbutan-1,2-diol 59. LiAlH₄ (2.4 M, in THF, 5.00 mL, 12.0 mmol) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of 57 (1.48 g, 4.01 mmol, >99:1 dr) in THF (40 mL) at 0 °C, and the resultant solution was allowed to warm to rt over 2 h. The resultant solution was then cooled to 0 °C, and 1 M aq NaOH (40 mL) was added. The resultant mixture was heated at reflux for 1 h, allowed to cool to rt, and filtered through Celite (eluent EtOAc), and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30-40 °C petrol/Et₂O, 2:1 \rightarrow neat Et₂O) gave **59** as white solid (1.01 g, 84%, >99:1 dr): mp 51–53 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ –112.4 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} 3394 (O-H); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.36 (3H, d, J = 6.6, C(α)Me), 2.23 (3H, s, NMe), 2.68 $(1H, dd, J = 13.8, 6.9, C(4)H_A)$, 2.87 $(1H, dd, J = 13.8, 6.9, C(4)H_A)$ 13.8, 5.1, $C(4)H_B$, 3.38 (1H, dd, J = 11.6, 2.6, $C(1)H_A$), 3.47–3.54 $(2H, m, C(2)H, C(3)H), 3.59 (1H, q, J = 6.6, C(\alpha)H), 3.70 (1H, dd, J)$ = 11.6, 2.3, $C(1)H_B$, 3.84 (2H, br s, OH), 7.22–7.38 (10H, m, Ph); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 21.2 (C(α)Me), 32.3 (C(4)), 33.8 (NMe), 60.3 $(C(3)), 62.9 (C(\alpha)), 64.4 (C(1)), 70.4 (C(2)), 126.4 (p-Ph), 127.2$ (o,m-Ph), 127.3 (p-Ph), 128.5, 128.6, 129.1 (o,m-Ph), 139.6, 144.4 (i-*Ph*); m/z (ESI⁺) 300 ([M + H]⁺, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) C₁₉H₂₆NO₂⁺ $([M + H]^{+})$ requires 300.1958; found 300.1960.

(2R,3S,aS)-1,2-Epoxy-3-[N-benzyl-N-(a-methylbenzyl)amino]-4-phenylbutane 64. Et₃N (319 µL, 2.29 mmol) and MsCl (93 μ L, 1.20 mmol) were sequentially added dropwise to a stirred solution of 58 (430 mg, 1.15 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (8.5 mL) at 0 °C. The resultant solution was stirred for 1 h at rt, and then H₂O (10 mL) was added. The resultant mixture was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were dried and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in MeOH (2 mL), and K₂CO₃ (792 mg, 5.73 mmol) was added. The resultant mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h and filtered through Celite (eluent MeOH), and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was partitioned between H₂O (10 mL) and Et₂O (10 mL), and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et_2O (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aq NaHCO₃ (40 mL), dried, and concentrated in vacuo to give an 85:15 mixture of 64 and 65, respectively. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30–40 °C petrol/Et₂O, 5:1 \rightarrow 2:1) gave 64 as a colorless oil (260 mg, ~95% purity, 64%, >95:5 dr): $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25}$ -36.9 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.25 (3H, d, J = 7.0, $C(\alpha)Me$, 2.02 (1H, dd, J = 5.1, 2.5, $C(1)H_A$), 2.41 (1H, dd, J = 5.1, 3.9, $C(1)H_B$), 2.59–2.74 (1H, m, $C(4)H_A$) 2.78–2.92 (3H, m, $C(2)H_A$) $C(3)H_{1}C(4)H_{B}$, 3.93 (1H, d, J = 15.1, NCH_AH_BPh), 4.07 (1H, d, J =15.1, NCH_A H_B Ph), 4.17 (1H, q, J = 7.0, C(α)H), 6.98–7.41 (15H, m, *Ph*); δ_{C} (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 19.1 (C(α)*Me*), 36.7 (C(4)), 45.4 (C(1)), 50.6 (NCH₂Ph), 52.5 (C(2)), 59.2 ($C(\alpha)$), 61.8 (C(3)), 126.0, 126.5, 126.7 (p-Ph), 127.8, 128.0, 128.1, 128.2, 129.2 (o,m-Ph), 139.6, 142.0, 144.8 (*i-Ph*); m/z (ESI⁺) 358 ([M + H]⁺, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) $C_{25}H_{28}NO^+$ ([M + H]⁺) requires 358.2165; found 358.2166. Further elution gave **65** as a colorless oil (45 mg, 10%, >99:1 dr): $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25}$ -37.2 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} 3424 (O–H); δ_{H} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.39 (3H, d, J = 7.0, $C(\alpha)Me$, 2.34 (1H, dd, J = 13.0, 3.7, $C(1)H_A$) 2.66 (1H, dd, $J = 13.0, 9.8, C(1)H_B$, 3.37 (1H, d, $J = 13.9, NCH_AH_BPh$), 3.56 (1H, s, OH), 3.70 (1H, d, J = 13.9, NCH_AH_BPh), 3.90 (1H, q, J = 7.0, $C(\alpha)H$, 4.02-4.14 (1H, m, C(2)H), 6.00 (1H, dd, I = 15.9, 6.2, 100C(3)H), 6.51 (1H, d, J = 15.9, C(4)H), 7.10–7.30 (15H, m, Ph); δ_{C} (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 17.8 (C(α)Me), 55.1 (NCH₂Ph), 55.9 (C(1)), 58.4 $(C(\alpha))$, 68.4 (C(2)), 126.4 (o,m-Ph), 127.1, 127.2, 127.5 (p-Ph), 128.2, 128.4, 128.5, 128.7 (o,m-Ph), 129.9 (C(3)), 131.0 (C(4)),

136.8, 139.4, 140.6 (*i-Ph*); m/z (ESI⁺) 358 ([M + H]⁺, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) $C_{25}H_{28}NO^+$ ([M + H]⁺) requires 358.2165; found 358.2167.

 $(2S,3S,\alpha S)$ -1-Phenyl-2-[N-benzyl-N-(α -methylbenzyl)amino]-3-hydroxydodecan-5-one (1',3'-propylene)dithioacetal 68. n-BuLi (0.80 mL, 2.02 mmol) was added dropwise via syringe to a stirred solution of 2-n-heptyl-1,3-dithiane⁶⁴ (279 mg, 1.34 mmol) in THF (1 mL) at 0 °C, and the resultant solution was stirred at rt for 1 h. A solution of 64 (240 mg, ~95% purity, ~0.67 mmol, >95:5 dr) in THF (0.5 mL) was then added dropwise; the resultant solution was stirred at rt for 1 h, and then $H_2O(10 \text{ mL})$ was added. The resultant mixture was extracted with Et_2O (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed sequentially with H₂O (30 mL), 2 M aq NaOH (30 mL), and H₂O (30 mL), dried, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30-40 °C petrol) gave recovered 2-n-heptyl-1,3-dithiane (76 mg, 27%). Further elution (eluent 30-40 °C petrol/Et₂O, 20:1 \rightarrow 5:1) gave 68 as a colorless oil (321 mg, 83%, >99:1 dr): $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -3.7 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); $\nu_{\rm max}$ 3426 (O–H); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 0.75–0.87 (1H, m, $C(7)H_A$, 0.92 (3H, t, J = 7.3, $C(12)H_3$), 0.96–1.24 (8H, m, $C(4)H_A$, $C(7)H_{B}$, $C(8)H_{2}-C(10)H_{2}$), 1.24–1.38 (2H, m, $C(11)H_{2}$), 1.45– 1.56 (1H, m, C(6) H_A) overlapping 1.52 (3H, d, J = 7.0, C(α)Me), 1.64–1.75 (1H, m, C(6) $H_{\rm B}$), 1.76–1.97 (2H, m, C(2') H_2), 2.05 (1H, dd, $J = 15.5, 9.4, C(4)H_B$, 2.56–2.73 (4H, m, $C(1')H_2, C(3')H_2$), 2.75-2.84 (1H, m, C(2)H), 3.10 (1H, dd, $J = 13.7, 9.7, C(1)H_A$), 3.17 $(1H, dd, J = 13.7, 3.9, C(1)H_B), 3.58-3.65 (1H, m, C(3)H), 3.68 (1H, m)$ d, J = 1.5, OH), 3.86 (1H, d, J = 13.4, NCH_AH_BPh), 4.31 (1H, q, J =7.0, $C(\alpha)H$, 4.34 (1H, d, J = 13.4, NCH_AH_BPh), 7.18–7.50 (15H, m, Ph), $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 13.7 (C(α)Me), 14.1 (C(12)), 22.6 (C(11)), 23.6 (C(7)), 25.1 (C(2')), 25.9, 26.1 (C(1'), C(3')), 29.0, 29.6, 31.7 (C(8)-C(10)), 34.5 (C(1)), 39.5 (C(6)), 42.1 (C(4)), 51.8 (NCH_2Ph) , 52.6 $(C(\alpha))$, 56.2 (C(2)), 61.8 (C(5)), 68.6 (C(3)), 126.0, 126.8, 127.0 (p-Ph), 128.0, 128.1, 128.3, 128.5, 129.0, 129.2 (o,m-Ph), 140.7, 140.9, 144.4 (i-Ph); m/z (ESI⁺) 576 ([M + H]⁺, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) $C_{36}H_{49}NNaOS_2^+$ ([M + Na]⁺) requires 598.3148; found 598.3146.

 $(2S,3S,\alpha S)$ -1-Phenyl-2-[N-benzyl-N-(α -methylbenzyl)amino]-3-hydroxydodecan-5-one 69. PIFA (129 mg, 0.30 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of 68 (69 mg, 0.12 mmol, >99:1 dr) in MeOH/H₂O (v/v, 9/1, 1.5 mL) at rt. The resultant solution was stirred at rt for 2 h, then saturated aq NaHCO3 (1 mL) and the resultant mixture were concentrated in vacuo. The residue was partitioned between Et₂O (5 mL) and H₂O (5 mL), and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\text{Et}_2O~(2\,\times\,5\,\,\text{mL}).$ The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (15 mL), dried, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30-40 °C petrol/Et₂O, $5:1 \rightarrow 1:1$) gave 69 as a colorless oil (34 mg, 58%, >99:1 dr): $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +3.8 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} 3525 (O–H), 1700 (C=O); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 0.89 (3H, t, J = 7.0, C(12)H₃), 1.12-1.33 (8H, m, $C(8)H_2-C(11)H_2$), 1.34–1.43 (2H, m, $C(7)H_2$), 1.46 $(1H, dd, J = 17.2, 2.4, C(4)H_A), 1.52 (3H, d, J = 7.0, C(\alpha)Me), 1.98-$ 2.17 (2H, m, C(6) H_2), 2.31 (1H, dd, J = 17.2, 9.5, C(4) H_B), 2.75– 2.84 (1H, m, C(2)H), 3.06 (1H, dd, J = 13.6, 10.1, $C(1)H_A$), 3.16 $(1H, dd, J = 13.6, 3.5, C(1)H_B), 3.64$ (1H, d, J = 1.4, OH), 3.79 (1d, J = 13.4, NCH_AH_BPh), 3.80–3.85 (1H, m, C(3)H), 4.18 (1H, q, J =7.0, $C(\alpha)H$, 4.28 (1H, d, J = 13.4, NCH_AH_BPh), 7.18–7.43 (15H, m, *Ph*); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 13.0 (C(α)*Me*), 14.1 (C(12)), 22.6 (C(8)-C(11)), 23.6 (C(7)), 29.0, 29.1, 31.6 (C(8)-C(11)), 34.8(C(1)), 43.6 (C(6)), 47.0 (C(4)), 51.6 (NCH_2Ph) , 56.1 $(C(\alpha))$, 60.7 (C(2)), 67.8 (C(3)), 126.1, 126.9, 127.1 (p-Ph), 128.1, 128.2, 128.4, 128.6, 129.1, 129.3 (o,m-Ph), 140.4, 140.7, 144.0 (i-Ph), 212.7 (C(5)); m/z (ESI⁺) 486 ([M + H]⁺, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) C₃₃H₄₄NO₂⁺ ([M + H]⁺) requires 486.3367; found 486.3365.

(25,35,5R)-N(1)-Methyl-2-benzyl-5-(heptan-1'-yl)pyrrolidin-3-ol [(+)-Preussin B] 72. Step 1. Pearlman's catalyst (50% w/w substrate, 55 mg) was added to a degassed solution of 69 (110 mg, 0.23 mmol, >99:1 dr) in MeOH (5 mL), and the resultant suspension was stirred vigorously under H₂ (5 atm) at rt for 16 h. The resultant suspension was filtered through Celite (eluent MeOH) and concentrated in vacuo to give an 85:15 mixture of 70 and 71, respectively (78 mg).

Step 2. Pd/C (50% w/w substrate, 39 mg) and formaldehyde (37 wt % ag solution, 34 μ L, 0.45 mmol) were added sequentially to a degassed solution of the residue from the previous step in MeOH (5 mL), and the resultant suspension was stirred vigorously under H_2 (1 atm) for 10 min. The resultant suspension was filtered through Celite (eluent MeOH) and concentrated in vacuo to give an 85:15 mixture of 72 and 73, respectively. Purification via flash column chromatography (eluent 30-40 °C petrol/EtOAc, 5:1 \rightarrow neat EtOAc) gave 72 as a colorless oil (47 mg, 75% from 69, >99:1 dr): $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ +22.9 (c 1.0 in CHCl₃); ν_{max} 3410 (O–H); δ_{H} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 0.88 (3H, t, J = 7.0, $C(7')H_3$, 1.15–1.37 (11H, m, $C(1')H_A$, $C(2')H_2-C(6')H_2$), 1.41 (1H, ddd, J = 13.7, 6.3, 1.5, $C(4)H_A$), 1.67–1.78 (1H, m, $C(1')H_{\rm B}$, 2.03–2.13 (2H, m, C(5)H, OH), 2.18 (1H, ddd, J = 13.7, 9.0, 6.4, C(4)H_B), 2.22-2.28 (1H, m, C(2)H), 2.32 (3H, s, NMe), 2.79-2.92 (2H, m, C(2)CH₂Ph), 3.76-3.83 (1H, m, C(3)H), 7.15-7.32 (5H, m, Ph); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 14.1 (C(7')), 22.6, 26.3, 29.3, 29.8, 31.8 (*C*(2')-*C*(6')), 33.7 (*C*(2)*C*H₂Ph), 35.0 (*C*(1')) 38.6 (NMe), 39.4 (C(4)), 65.7 (C(5)), 70.4 (C(3)), 73.5 (C(2)), 126.0 (p-1)Ph), 128.3, 129.3 (o,m-Ph), 139.5 (i-Ph); m/z (ESI⁺) 290 ([M + H]⁺, 100%); HRMS (ESI⁺) $C_{19}H_{32}NO^+$ ([M + H]⁺) requires 290.2478; found 290.2478.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.6b00362.

Copies of ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra (PDF) X-ray data for structure CCDC 1454017 (CIF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: steve.davies@chem.ox.ac.uk.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest. [†]Deceased July 26, 2015.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Dr. Karl Harrison for the preparation of the cover artwork.

REFERENCES

(1) Schwartz, R. E.; Liesch, J.; Hensens, O.; Zitano, L.; Honeycutt, S.; Garrity, G.; Fromtling, R. A.; Onishi, J.; Monaghan, R. J. Antibiot. **1988**, 41, 1774.

(2) Schwartz, R. E.; Onishi, J. C.; Monaghan, R. L.; Liesch, J. M.; Hensens, O. D. U.S. Patent Appl. US 4847284 A, 1989.

- (3) Johnson, J. H.; Phillipson, D. W.; Kahle, A. D. J. Antibiot. 1989, 42, 1184.
- (4) Kasahara, K.; Yoshida, M.; Eishima, J.; Takesako, K.; Beppu, T.; Horinouchi, S. J. Antibiot. **1997**, 50, 267.
- (5) Achenbach, T. V.; Slater, E. P.; Brummerhop, H.; Bach, T.; Müller, R. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **2000**, *44*, 2794.
- (6) Brummerhop, H.; Achenbach, T.; Müller, R.; Bach, T. Patent Appl. WO 2001010832 A1, 2001.
- (7) Goss Kinzy, T.; Harger, J. W.; Carr-Schmid, A.; Kwon, J.; Shastry, M.; Justice, M.; Dinman, J. D. Virology **2002**, 300, 60.
- (8) Pak, C. S.; Lee, G. H. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 1128.
- (9) McGrane, P. L.; Livinghouse, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 11485.
- (10) Deng, W.; Overman, L. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 11241.
- (11) Overhand, M.; Hecht, S. M. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 4721.
- (12) Beier, C.; Schaumann, E. Synthesis 1997, 1296.
- (13) Veeresa, G.; Datta, A. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 15673.
- (14) Lee, K.-Y.; Kim, Y.-H.; Oh, C.-Y.; Ham, W.-H. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 4041.
- (15) Krasiński, A.; Gruza, H.; Jurczak, J. Heterocycles 2001, 54, 581.

- (16) Okue, M.; Watanabe, H.; Kitahara, T. *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 4107.
- (17) Natori, Y.; Kikuchi, S.; Kondo, T.; Saito, Y.; Yoshimura, Y.; Takahata, H. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 1983.
- (18) Arévalo-García, E. B. Heterocycl. Commun. 2014, 20, 47.
- (19) Shimazaki, M.; Okazaki, F.; Nakajima, F.; Ishikawa, T.; Ohta, A. *Heterocycles* **1993**, *36*, 1823.
- (20) Yoda, H.; Yamazaki, H.; Takabe, K. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1996, 7, 373.
- (21) Kadota, I.; Saya, S.; Yamamoto, Y. Heterocycles 1997, 46, 335.
- (22) Bach, T.; Brummerhop, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 37, 3400.
- (23) Bach, T.; Brummerhop, H.; Harms, K. Chem. Eur. J. 2000, 6, 3838.
- (24) Caldwell, J. J.; Craig, D.; East, S. P. Synlett 2001, 1602.
- (25) Caldwell, J. J.; Craig, D.; East, S. P. ARKIVOC 2007, xii, 67.
- (26) Xiao, K.-J.; Wang, Y.; Ye, K.-Y.; Huang, P.-Q. Chem. Eur. J. 2010, 16, 12792.
- (27) Huang, P.-Q.; Geng, H.; Tian, Y.-S.; Peng, Q.-R.; Xiao, K.-J. Sci. China: Chem. **2015**, 58, 478.
- (28) Verma, R.; Ghosh, S. K. Chem. Commun. 1997, 1601.
- (29) Kanazawa, A.; Gillet, S.; Delair, P.; Greene, A. E. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 4660.
- (30) Dong, H.-Q.; Lin, G.-Q. Chin. J. Chem. 1998, 16, 458.
- (31) Verma, R.; Ghosh, S. K. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1999, 265.
- (32) Raghavan, S.; Rasheed, M. A. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 10307.
- (33) Huang, P.-Q.; Wu, T.-J.; Ruan, Y.-P. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 4341.
- (34) Canova, S.; Bellosta, V.; Cossy, J. Synlett 2004, 1811.
- (35) Davis, F. A.; Deng, J. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 5111.

(36) Gogoi, N.; Boruwa, J.; Barua, N. C. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 1722.

- (37) Bertrand, M. B.; Wolfe, J. P. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 2353.
- (38) Davis, F. A.; Zhang, J.; Qiu, H.; Wu, Y. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 1433.
- (39) Draper, J.; Britton, R. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 4034.
- (40) Chowdhury, R.; Ghosh, S. K. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 3270.
- (41) Dong and Lin also reported a formal synthesis of (+)-preussin: Dong, H.-Q.; Lin, G. Q. *Chin. Chem. Lett.* **1997**, *8*, 693. However, the execution of the total synthesis of (+)-preussin via this method was later disclosed (ref 30).
- (42) In addition, two other manuscripts claiming the "formal synthesis" of (+)-preussin have also appeared, although the final products reported in both of these manuscripts have not been elaborated to (+)-preussin: the final product reported by Dikshit et al. (Dikshit, D. K.; Goswami, L. N.; Singh, V. S. *Synlett* 2003, 1737) bears different protecting groups to an intermediate prepared in the syntheses of (+)-preussin described by Beier et al. (ref 12) and by Krasiński et al. (ref 15); neither the final product reported by de Armas et al. (de Armas, P.; García-Tellado, F.; Marrero-Tellado, J. J.; Robles, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1998, *39*, 131) nor any closely related derivative thereof has, to the best of our knowledge, ever been converted into (+)-preussin.
- (43) Zhou, Q.-R.; Wei, X.-Y.; Li, Y.-Q.; Huang, D.; Wei, B.-G. *Tetrahedron* **2014**, *70*, 4799.
- (44) Okue, M.; Watanabe, H.; Kasahara, K.; Yoshida, M.; Horinouchi, S.; Kitahara, T. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* **2002**, *66*, 1093. (45) The preparation of the three possible diastereoisomers of preussin (alongside preussin itself) in racemic form was also reported by Shimazaki et al. (ref 19); however, this was the result of the elaboration of the diastereoisomeric products formed in a poorly selective reaction en route to preussin itself.
- (46) In addition, for the synthesis of the C(2)-epimer of (+)-preussin B, see ref 38; for the synthesis of 3-*epi*-(\pm)-preussin, see refs 37 and 39; for the synthesis of the C(3)-epimer of (-)-preussin, see refs 10; for the synthesis of the C(5)-epimer of (+)-preussin, see refs 30 and 39.

(47) Rosset, I. G.; Dias, R. M. P.; Pinho, V. D.; Burtoloso, A. C. B. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 6748.

(48) The synthesis of preussin was reviewed in 2005. See: Basler, B.; Brandes, S.; Spiegel, A.; Bach, T. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **2005**, *243*, 1.

(49) Fukuda, T.; Sudoh, Y.; Tsuchiya, Y.; Okuda, T.; Igarashi, Y. J. Nat. Prod. 2014, 77, 813.

(50) For example, see: (a) Ho, T. L.; Gopalan, B.; Nestor, J. J., Jr. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 2405. (b) Jegham, S.; Das, B. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 2801. (c) Mota, A. J.; Langlois, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003,

44, 1141. (d) Cini, E.; Giorgi, G.; Rodriguez, M.; Taddei, M. Synlett 2009, 1562. (51) Wilk, B. K. Synth. Commun. 1993, 23, 2481.

(52) Davies, S. G.; Smith, A. D.; Price, P. D. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2005, 16, 2833.

(53) Claridge, T. D. W.; Davies, S. G.; Lee, J. A.; Nicholson, R. L.; Roberts, P. M.; Russell, A. J.; Smith, A. D.; Toms, S. M. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 5437.

(54) Bunnage, M. E.; Davies, S. G.; Goodwin, C. J. Synlett 1993, 731.

(55) Bunnage, M. E.; Davies, S. G.; Goodwin, C. J.; Ichihara, O. Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 3975.

(56) Davies, S. G.; Goddard, E. C.; Roberts, P. M.; Russell, A. J.; Thomson, J. E. Synlett 2015, 26, 1541.

(57) Only traces of β_{γ} -unsaturated esters (E)-21 and (Z)-22 were evident in the ¹H NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture in this case, in contrast to the original reports (refs 54 and 55).

(58) Costello, J. F.; Davies, S. G.; Ichihara, O. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1994, 5, 1999.

(59) Imamoto, T.; Takiyama, N.; Nakamura, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 4763.

(60) Corey, E. J.; Seebach, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1965, 4, 1075.

(61) Seebach, D.; Corey, E. J. J. Org. Chem. 1975, 40, 231.

(62) For example, see: (a) Hangyou, M.; Ishiyama, H.; Takahashi, Y.; Kubota, T.; Kobayashi, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 1475. (b) Shaikh, T. M.; Sudalai, A. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2009, 20, 2287. (c) Oliveira, J. M.; Freitas, J. C. R.; Comasseto, J. V.; Menezes, P. H. Tetrahedron

2011, 67, 3003. (63) For example, see: (a) Williams, D. R.; Sit, S.-Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc.

1984, 106, 2949. (b) Zhuang, Z.-P.; Zhou, W.-S. Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 3633. (c) Toshima, K.; Tatsuta, K.; Kinoshita, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1988, 61, 2369. (d) Juliawaty, L. D.; Watanabe, Y.; Kitajima, M.; Achmad, S. A.; Takayama, H.; Aimi, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 8657. (e) Sasaki, M.; Iwamuro, Y.; Nemoto, J.; Oikawa, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 6199. (f) Gaunt, M. J.; Jessiman, A. S.; Orsini, P.; Tanner, H. R.; Hook, D. F.; Ley, S. V. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 4819. (g) Yadav, J. S.; Chetia, L. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 4587. (h) Fang, B.; Xie, X.; Zhao, C.; Jing, P.; Li, H.; Wang, Z.; Gu, J.; She, X. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 6338. (i) Venkanna, A.; Siva, B.; Poornima, B.; Babu, K. S.; Rao, J. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2014, 55, 403.

(64) Gbur, R. K.; Little, R. D. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 2134.

(65) Stork, G.; Zhao, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 287.

(66) Davies, S. G.; Fletcher, A. M.; Foster, E. M.; Lee, J. A.; Roberts, P. M.; Thomson, J. E. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 2500.

(67) Davies, S. G.; Fletcher, A. M.; Frost, A. B.; Lee, J. A.; Roberts, P. M.; Thomson, J. E. Tetrahedron 2013, 69, 8885.

(68) Brambilla, M.; Davies, S. G.; Fletcher, A. M.; Hao, L.; Lv, L.; Roberts, P. M.; Thomson, J. E. Tetrahedron 2014, 70, 3491.

(69) Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication number CCDC 1454017.

(70) Pangborn, A. B.; Giardello, M. A.; Grubbs, R. H.; Rosen, R. K.; Timmers, F. J. Organometallics 1996, 15, 1518.

(71) Betteridge, P. W.; Carruthers, J. R.; Cooper, R. I.; Prout, C. K.; Watkin, D. J. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2003, 36, 1487.

(72) The resonance associated with C(3) of 34 was obscured by the resonance associated with the CDCl₃ solvent.